CON'T PG. 79.

JOHN WHEELOCK WILLEY ESQR. HAVING BEEN DULY EXAMINED AND ADMITTED TO PRACTICE AS AN ATTORNEY AND COUNSELLAR AT LAW APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND TOOK OATH TO SUPPORT THE CC CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE STATE OF OHIO AND ALSO THAT OF AN ATTORNEY AND CC COUNSELLAR AT LAW AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW AND THEREUPON RECEIVED HIS CERTIFICATE TO THAT PURPOSE. PG. 80.

// ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT ELLZEY HEDGES BE AND HIS IS HEREBY APPOINTED CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF OHIO IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND FOR THE TERM OF SEVEN YEARS FROM THIS TERM AND GAVE THE FOLLOWING BOND TO WIT:

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT WE ELLZEY HEDGES, JOSIAH HEDGES AND ANDREW COFFINBERRYARE HELD AND FIRMLY BONDED UNTO THE STATE OF OHIO IN THE PENAL SUM OF TW(THOUSAND DOLLARS FOR THE PAYMENT OF WHICH WELL AND TRULY TO BE MADE WE BOND OURSELVES OUR HEIRS EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY FIRMLY BY THESE PRESENTS. WITNESS OUR HAND AND SEALS THIS TWELTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER A.D. 1821.

JOSIAH HEDGES SEAL ANDREW COFFINBERRY SEAL

THEREFOR THE SAID ELLZEY HEDGES TOOK THE NECESSARY OATH PRESCRITION BY LAW____ IN OPEN COURT.

11

MARY LANFORD(SANFORD) VS

HEZEKIAH LANFORD(SANFORD)

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

THIS DAY CAME THE PLAINTIFF BY HER ATTORNEY AND THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL THE NEXT TERM.

// MATTHEW KELLY VS

| ALEXANDER MANN & | TRESPASS ON THE CASE |
|------------------|----------------------|
| JAMES HEDGES | DAMAGES \$500.00 |

PG. 82.

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND BY CONSENT THIS CAUSE IS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE DEFE DEFENDENT DID ASSUME AND PROMISE IN MANNER AND FORM AS THE PLAINTIFF IN HIS DECLARATION HATH ALLEDGED AND ASSESSED THE PLAINTIFF DAMAGES AT ONE HUNDRED AND EIGHTY NINE DOLLARS AND SEVENTY FIVE CENTS WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF TH DEFENDENT THE SUM AFORESAID ASSESSED BY THE COURT TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

 \prod

SALLY SHIFFER VS PETER SHIFFER

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

THIS DAY CAME THE PLAINTIFF BY HER ATTORNEY AND THE DEFENDENT BEING THREE TIMES SOLEMMLY CALLED CAME NOT WHEREUPON AFTER HEARING THE TESTIMONY AND ARGUMENTS OF COUNCIL THEREUPON THE COURT TAKES THIS CAUSE UNDER ADVISEMENT TO BE CERTIFIED BACK FROM SAME COUNTY IN THIS CIRCUT AND TO BE ENTERED AS OF THIS TERM.

CON'T OF PG. 82.

// STATE OF OHIO VS JOHN CHAMBERS COPIAS DEBT\$100.00 DAMAGES \$100.00 ON DEMURRER

(NO TEXT)

IN CHANCERY

11

· PG. 83.

GEORGE HACKETT VS JOHN PRITCHARD

SOMM FRITCHARDSAMUEL OSBUNIN THIS SUIT THE COURT HAVING HEARD AND EXAMINED THEPHINIAS INSKEEPALLEGATIONS AND EXIBITS AND THE COUNCIL FOR THE COMPLAINTANTJOHN MULHOLLANDAND DEFENDENTS HAVING BEEN HEARD IN ARGUMENTS, IT IS NOW BYJOSEPH HARRISSTHE COURT ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT THE SAID COMPLAINTANT TAKE NOTHING BY HIS SAID BILL AND THAT THE INJUNCTION BE

DISOLVED AND THE SAID COMPLAINTANT BE DISMISSED AND IT IS FURTHER DECREED THAT THE DEFENDE RECOVER OF THE COMPLAINTANT THEIR COSTS IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED AND THAT AN EXECUTION ISSU. THEREFOR PG. 84. Π

| THOMAS EDGINGTON | SUMMONS TRESPASS |
|------------------|---------------------|
| VS | CASE DAMAGES I20.00 |
| JOHN FOGLESONG | |

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNEYS AND BY CONSENT THIS CAUSE IS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE DEFENDENT RECOVER OF THE PLAINTIFF HIS COSTS AND GHARGES ABOUT HIS DEFENCE IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED.

// IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT ALL JUDGEMENTS AND DECREES IN CASES APPEARED OR CAME UP INTO COURT HERE BY WRIT OF ERROR FROM THE COMMON PLEAS BE CERTIFIED TO DAIS COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AT THEIR NEXT TERM WITH SPECIAL MANDATE TO CARRY THE SAME INTO EFFECT BY EXECUTION.

> THE COURT ADJOURNED SAME DIE JOHN MCLEAN PRESIDING JUDGE

> > pg. 85.

//

SALLY SHIFFER vs PETER SHIFFER

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

THIS CASE IS BROUGHT UNDER ADVISEMENT FROM THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND ON CONSIDERATION THE COURT DISMISS THE PETITION ON THE GROUNDS THAT THE FIVE YEARS ABSENCE ON WHICH THE DIVORCE IS PRAYED DID NOT ELAPSE FROM THE TIME OF THE PETITIONER CAME INTO THIS STATE UNTIL THE FILING OF HER PETITION IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE CLERK OF THIS COURT UNDER HIS OFFICIAL SEAL CERTIFY A COPY OF THE AFORESAID DECESSION TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE SAID COUNTY OF RICHLAND TO BE BY HIM ENTERED OF RECORD AS OF LAST TERM.

// THE STATE OF OHIO __I GEORGE PERSONS CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF C TRUMBELL COUNTY OHIO IN AND FOR THE SAID COUNTY DO HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE COPY OF A JUDGEMENT ENTERED ON THE MINUTES OF SAID COURT AT THE OCTOBER TERM EIGHTEEN HUNDRED AND TWENTY ONE. IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE AT WARREN THE 4TH DAY OF OCTOBER 1821

FEE\$I.00

GEORGE PARSONS, CLK.

AT A SUPREME COURT BEGAN AND HELD AT MANSFIELD IN THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND AND STATE OF OHIO ON THIS DAY THE 20TH DAY OF JUNE A.D. 1822, PRESENT THE HONERABLE CALVIN PEASE AND PETER HITCHCOCK JUDGES OF SAID COURT AND TOOK THEIR SEATS.

// PLUMB SUTIFF VS WILLIAM TIMBERLAKE

11

SUMMONS TRESPASS ON THE CASE DAMAGES \$200.00

// SAMUEL HILL

VS

IN CHANCERY

JAMES HEDGES THIS CAUSE NOW BEING SUBMITTED TO THE COURT UPON THE BILL ANSWER AND EXERIBITS AND THE SAME BEING DULY EXAMINED AND CONSIDERED, IT IS THE E UPON BY THE COURT ORD. ADJUGED AND DECREED THAT THE INJUNCTION BE DISOLVED AND THE COMPLAINTANT BILL DISMISSED AND THAT THE SAID JAMES HEDGES BE PERMITTED TO PROCEED TO THE EXECUTION OF HIS JUDGEMENT AT LAW AGAINST THE SAID SAMUEL HILL AND IT IS FURTHER DECREED AND ADJUDGED THAT THE COMPLAINANT PAY THE DEFENDENT HIS COSTS IN THIS SUIT EXPENDED AND THAT THE SAID DEFENDE BE PERMITTED TO TAKT OUT EXECUTION FOR THE SAME AS THE STATUTE IN SUCH CASE DIRECTS. PG. 87.

// JOHN M. LINCHEY VS ANDREW NEWMAN

SUMMONS TRESPASS ON THE CASE DAMAGES \$250.00

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THEREUPON CAME A JURY TO WIT: JOHN SINGERY, MATTHIAS MARKLEY, ROBERT FINNEY, JOHN EDWARDS, JOSEPH SHEETS, PHINIAS MARING, JOHN ANSBARGER, SAMUEL AYRES, JOHN PLATT, ASAPH WEBSTER, GARRET CRISPIN, AND WILLIAM TINGLEY, WHICH LAST TWO WERE TALLISMEN, WHO BEING DULY ELECTED TRYED AND SWORN WELL AND TRULY TO TRY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE CASE.

// ORDERED BY THE COURT ADJOURN UNTIL TO MORROW MORNING 8 OCLOCK CALVIN PEASE,

TRESPASS CASE

CHIEF JUDGE

// FRIDAY JUNE 2IST 1822 THE COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT, PRESENT THE SAME JUDGFS AS ### YESTERDAY

PG 88.

// JAMES HEDGES VS THOMAS EDGINGTON

(PLAINTIFF RECOVERED OF THE DEFENDENT, HIS COSTS AND CHARGES)

(SAME TEXT AS OTHER TRESPASS CASES) PLAINTIFF RECOVERED OD DEFENDENTS COSTS AND CHARGES.

john lindley

11

PG. 89

//JOHN LINDLEY VS ANDREW NEWMAN

(DEFENDENT RECOVERED OF THE PLAINTIFF HIS COSTS AND CHARGES)

// THE STATE OF OHIO VS

SAMUEL MACKERELL

ACTION OF DELET ON A FULL CONSIDERATION OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND PLEADINGS IN THIS CAUSE.

PG. 90.

(STATE OF OHIO RECOVERED OF SAM EL MACKERELL THE SUM OF 50.00 DEBT. TOGETHER WITH COSTS AND CHARGES)

// PHILIP COOK

VS

JOHN COON, JACOB #####, HOUT EXECUTERS OF THE LAST WILL AND TESTIMENT TRESPASS ON THE CASE OF CHRISTIAN HOUT DECEASED. DAMAGES \$2000.00 THIS DAY CAME THE PLAINTIFFS BY COFFINBERRY & PARKER THEIR ATTORNIES, ANT THE DEFENDENTS BY CHARLES R. SHERMAN THEIR ATTORNIES

(PLAINTISS RECOVERED OF THE DEFENDENT \$468.20 &COSTS AND CHARGES OF HIS SUIT).

PG. 9I.

| | MARY LANI | DFORD | | PETITION : | FOR 1 | DIV | ORCE | |
|--|------------|----------|---|------------|-------|-----|------|-------|
| | VS | | (| CONTINUED | UNT | IL | NEXT | TERM) |
| | HEZEKIEL 1 | LANDFORD | | | | | | |

// THE COMMISSIONS OF HURON COUNTY
FOR THE USE OF JOHN WILLIAMSON
VS
LEYMAN FAIRWELL & ELINEZER MERRY
LEYMAN FAIRWELL & ELINEZER MERRY
LIFE AND THAT WILLIAM SUMMER AND

WILLIAM WILLIAMSON AND THE ADMINISTRATERS UPON THE ESTATE OF THE SAID WILLIAMSON WHICH ADMINISTRATERS ARE ON MOTIOM MADE PARTIES AND PERMITTED TO PROSECUTE THIS SUIT, AND IT TS BY THIS COURT HERE CONSIDERED THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENTS THE DEBT IN THE DECLARATION MENTIONED AND COSTS IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED AND THAT THE SAID ADMINISTRATERS BE PERMITTED TO SERVE OUT AN EXECUTION AGAINST THE SAID DEFENDENTS FOR THE AMOUNT OF THE VERDICT AND DAMAGES ASSESSED BY THE JURY WITH COSTS OF SUIT AND DEFENDENTS IN MERCY BE.

 // SALLY SHIFFER
 PRTITION FOR DIVORCE

 VS
 PETER SHIFFER
 IN THIS CASE DECREE GRANTED IN FAVOR OF PETITIONER

 AND IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT ALL JUDGEMENTS AND

 DECREESIN CASES APPEALED OR CAME UP INTO COURT HERE BY WRIT OF ERROR FROM THE

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS BE CERTIFIED TO THE SAID COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AT THEIR NEXT TERM WITH A SPECIAL MANDATE TO CARRY THE SAME INTO EFFECT BY EXECUTION.

ORDERED BY THE COURT ADJOURNED WITHOUT DAY

CALVIN PEASE

CHIEF JUDGE

PG. 94.

// AT A SUPREME COURT BAGAN AND HELD AT MANSFIELD IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND AND STATE OF OHIO ON FRIDAY THE 29TH DAY OF AUGUSTA.D. ONE THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED AND TWENTY THREE. PRESANT THE HONERABLE CALVIN PEASE AND JACOB BURNETT ESQUIRES JUDGES OF SAID COURT AND TOOK THEIR SEATS.

// MARY LANDFORD VS HEZIKEAL LANDFORD

PRTITION FOR DIVORCE

THIS DAY CAME THE SAID PETITIONERS BY PARKER HER ATTORNEY

// THOMAS WILSON VS ISAAC HITCHCOCK

- SUMMONS TRESPASS ON THE CASE DAMAGES \$1000.00

(PLAINTIFF RECOVERS OF THE DEFENDENT)

PG. 95.

// JOHN DOE ON THE DEMISE OF JOHN STEWART COMMITTEE OF JOHN JACOB FOOSE (AN INSANE PERSON) EJECTMENT VS PHILLIP DELANG

JURY: JOHN BURCHARR, JOHN SMITH. JOHN COULTER, PHILLIP POST, THOMAS CLARK, JAMES BYERS, BENJIMAN POST, EPHRIAM ECKLEY, JOHN MC CRORY, WILLIAM KENT, AND CHARLES STEWART

(SAID DEFENDENT GUILTY OF TRESPASS ASSESSED DAMAGES AND COSTS)

PG. 96.

11 THOMAS LOFFLAND VS JOHN GARRISON CHRISTIAN AUNGST SUMMONS OF TRESPASS ON THE CASE CHARLES STEWART DANIAL AYERS DAVID STEVENS DAMAGES\$700.00 JOHN G. PITTERSON THIS DAY CAME THE SAID PLAINTIFF BY JOHN M. MAY HIS ATT. JOHN ZENT

JURY: SOLOMON ######## COOK, MICHEAL DOUGLASS, JOHN BURCHARD, JOHN SMITH, JOHN COULTER, PHILLIP POST, JAMES BYERS, BENJIMAN POST, THOMAS CLARK, HENRY ROOK WILLIAM KENT. SAMUEL POLLACK.

(AFTER HEARING THE TESTIMONY AND ARGUMENTS OF COUNCIL THE JURY RETIRED)

PG. 97.

// PLUMB SUTIFF VS WILLIAM TIMBERLAKE

SUMMONS OF TREAPASS ON THE CASE DAMAGES \$200.00

(DEFENDENT RECOVERED OF THE PLAINTIFF COSTS AND CHARGES)

DAMAGES \$700.00

PG. 98.

// JACOB CULOW?

VS SUMMONS OF DEBT. \$250.00 DANIEL DIMMICK DAM AGES .50

(ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO READ) (PLAINTIFF AWARDED \$109.53)

//THOMAS LOFFLAND VS JOHN GARRISON CHRISTIAN AUNGST JOHN ZENT

CHARLES STEWARTTHE JURY THIS DAY RETURNED INTO THE COURT AND UPON THEIRDANIEL AYERSSOLEMM OATHS DO SAY THAT THE DEFENDENT CHARLES STEWARTDAVID STEVENSDID ASSUME AND POSSESS IN MANNER AND FORM AS THE PLAINTIFFJOHN G. PATTERSONIN HIS DECLARATION HATH ALLEDGED AND THAT THE OTHER DEFENDENTSJOHN ZENTDID NOT ASSUME AND PROMISE AND ASSESSED THE PLAINTIFF DID NOT ASSUME AND PROMISE AND ASSESSED THE PLAINTIFF DAMAGES AT \$620. 25

WHEREUPON THE COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL 9 OCLOCK TOMORROW MORNING CALVIN PEASE. CHIEF JUDGE.

// SATURDAY AUGUST 30TH 1823 THE COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESANT THE SAME

SUMMONS TRESPASS ON THE CASE

// j6HN DOE ON THE DEMISE OF JOHN STEWART, COMMITTER, OF JOHN JACOB FOOSE (AN INSAME PERSON) VS EJECTMENT PHILLIP DELANEY WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE SAID PHILLIP, DEFENDENT THE TERM YET TO COME AND EXPIRED IN THE PREMISES IN THE DECLARATION MENTIONED, TOGETHER WITH HIS DAMAGES AFORESAID BY THE JURY AFORESAID ASSESSED AND HIS COSTS BY HIM EXPENDED TAXED AT \$_____, AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE. AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE WRIT OF POSSESSION ISSUE TO THE SHERIFF OF SAID COUNTY TO RESTORE THE SAID JOHN TO THE POSSESSION OF THE SAID PREMISSES. PG. ICO.

||

PETER WILKIN VS

VSPETITION FOR DIVORCE. JANE ###### WILKINTHIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL THE NEXT TERM WITH LEAVE
TO THE PETITIONED TO ####### AMEND HIS PETITIONAND TO

SERVED MIS <u>ND</u>ENT WITH A COPY OF THE AMENDED PETITION, AND HER COUNCIL HAVE ####### NOTICE OF THIS ORDER.

// THOMAS LOFFLAND

VS JOHN GARRISON CHARLES STEWART & OTHERS

IN THIS CASE THE SAID CHARLES STEWART MOVED THE COURT FOR A NEW TRIAL WHEREUPON IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT A NEW TRIAL

BE GRANTED TO THE SAID STEWART WH IN HIS PAYINY THE COSTS WHICH HAVE ACCRUED IN THES COURT AT THE PRESENT TERM OF THIS COURT WITHIN SIX MONTHS FROM THIS TIME AND AS TO THE OTHER DEFENDENT IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THEY GO HOME WITHOUT DAY AND RECOVER OF THE PLAINTIFF THEIR COSTS AND DHARGES BY THEM IN THEIR DEFENCE IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE PLAINTIFF IN MERCY BE. AND THIS CASE AS TO THE SAID STEWART IS ENTERED.

PG. IOI

// BELINDA HULL

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

VS JAMES HULL

THIS DAY CAME THE COMPLAINTANT BY HER COUNCIL AND THE DEFENDENT IN HIS PROPER PERSON, AND IT APPEARING TO THE COURT THAT

LEGAL NOTICE OF THE PENDENCY OF THE PETITION HAS BEEN GIVEN TO THE DEFENDENT THE SAME IS READ AND THE COURT HAVING HEARD THE SAME AND THE EVIDENCE PRODUCED IN SUPPORT THEREOF, ARE OF OPINION THAT THE FACTS STATED IN THE COMBLAINTANTS PETITION ARE TRUE AND THAT SHE IS ENTITLED TO THE RELIEF SOUGHT, WHEREUPON THE COURT DO ORDER AND DECREE THAT THE MARRIAGE CONTRACT (SUTISISTING ? EXISTING) BETWEEN THE PARTIES BE HINCEFORTH DISOLVED AND BOTH PARTIES FREED FROM THE OBLIGATIONS OF THE SIME, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED THAT THE BAID BELINDA RETAINALL THE PERSONEL PROPERTY OF THE SAID JAMES NOW IN HER POSSESSION AS HER. 102. OWN AND ALSO THAT THE SAID JAMES WITHIN SIX MONTHS FROM THIS DATE PAY TO THE SAID BELINDA THE SUM OF ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS FOR HER ALIMONY AND ALSO PAY THE COSTS OF THIS PETITION, AND THAT THIS DECREE OSSERTED AS A LEIN UPON THE REAL ESTATE OF THE SAID JAMES AND THAT UPON DEFAULT OF THE SAID JAMES PAYING THE SAID SUM OF OF ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS, AND THE COSTS AGREEABLY TO THIS DECREE, THAT EXECUTION ISSUED THEREFOR. AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED THAT THIS CASE AND MAIN-TAINANCE OF JAMES, MARIA, PRICILLA EMMA, INFANT CHILDREN OF THE SAID JAMES AND BELINDA BE COMMITTED TO THE SAID BELINDA.

PG. 103.

11

// RICHARD BOWEN(BONEN) WILLIAM LARWILL VS CONSTANT LAKE ROBERT BENTLY BENJIMAN BENTLY AND THE PRESIDENT DIRECTORS & COMPANY OF THE GERMAN BANK OF WOOSTER

IN CHANCERY

THIS CAUSE CAME ON FOR HEARING UPON THE BILL ANSWERS REPLICATIONS, DEPOSITIONS AND EXHIBITS FILED THEREIN AND UPON THE HEARING THEREOF IT IS THE OPINION OF THE COURT THAT THE FACTS BRT FORTH IN COMPLAINANT BILL ARE TRUE AS THEREIN STATED AND THAT THE LAW AND EQUIT? ARE WITH THE COMPLAINTANTS

IT IS THEREFOR ORDERED AND ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT THE SAID DEED OR CONVEYANCE OF THE SAID EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION NUMBER THIRTEEN IN TOWNSHIP NUMBER TWENTYONE OF RANGE NUMBER SIXTEEN IN SAID RICHLAND COUNTY BEING PART OF THE SAID PREMISES IN SAID BILL MENTIONED FROM THE SAID JOSEPH WORKMAN AND WIFE TO THE SAID BENJIMAN BENTLY AND OF THE DEFENDENTS BEARING THE FIRST DAY OF DECEMBER EIGHTEEN HUNDRED AND NINETEEN BE GIVEN UP AND CANCELLED AND THAT SAID DEED OR CONVEYANCE OF SAID EAST HALF OF SAID QUARTER FROM SAID BENJIMAN BENTLY TO SAID ROBERT BENTLY AND OF THE SAID DEFENDENTS , BEARING DATE TO THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF AUGUST EIGHTEEN HINDRED AND TWENTY ONE BE ALSO GIVEN UP AND CANCELLED AND IT IS FURTHR ORDERED AND ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT THAT THE DEED OR CONVEYANCE AMONG THE EXEBITS IN THIS CASE FILED DATED THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JULY A.D. EIGHTEEN HUNDRED AND SIXTEEN GIVEN BY SAID JOSEPH WORKMAN AND LYDIER HIS WIFE TO SAID CONSTANT LAKE ONE OF THE DEFENDENTS. CONVEYING TO SAID LAKE THE EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION # THIRTEEN AFORESAID BE PLACED UPON THE RECORDS OF SAID RICHLAND COUNTY. THAT SAID PREMISES PG. 1053 BE SUBJECT AND LIABLE TO SAID JUDGEMENT AND EXECUTION OF SAID BOWEN ONE OF THE COMPLAINTANTS, AND THAT SAID COMPLAINTANT MAY PROCEED ON THEIR SAID JUDGEMENT AGAINST SAID LAKE AT LAW. AND SELL THE PREMISSES AFORESAID ACCORDING TO LAW TO SATISFY THEIR SAID JUDGEMENT. AMD IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE SAID DEFENDENT PAY TO COMPLAINTANTS THE COSTS IN THIS SUIT EXPENDED AND THAT EXECUTION AS AT CASE ISSUE THEREFOR.

· // IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT ALL JUDGEMENTS AND DECREES IN CASES APPEAPED OR CAME UP INTO COURT HERE BY WRIT OF ERROR FROM THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, BE CERTIFIED TO SAID COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AT THEIR NEXT TERM, WITH A SPECIAL MANDATE TO CARRY THE SAME INTO EFFECT BY EXECUTION.

WHEREUPON THE COURT ADJOURNED WITHOUT DAY CALVIN PEASE, CHIEF JUDGE

PG. 106.

// AT A SUPREME COURT BEGAN AND HELD AT MANSFIELD IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND AND THE STATE OF OHIO ON FRIDAY THE IOTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD ONE THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED AND TWENTY FOUR. PRESENT THE HONERABLE PETER HITCHCOCK AND JACOB BURNETT, JUDGES OF SAID COURT AND TOOK THEIR SEATS.

//JONATHAN EAST, MAN FOR THE USE OF THOMAS LOFFLAND VS

SUMMONS BEBT.\$120.00 DAMAGES \$100.00

VSJOHN C. GILKINSONTHIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THE
CAUSE IS AT ISSUE AND THERE UPON CAME A JURY TO WIT:
BENJIMAN CROOKS, CHARLES SPOONER, JOSEPH BARNES,
JOHN HALFERTY, LEWIS DAY, JACOB SWITZER, SAMUEL SIMPSON,
SOLOMON LEEVITNESSES
PETER MAINSTHOMAS C. TERRY, JOHN PIPER, SAMUEL STEWART, WILLIAM HAY,
AND JAMES DRENNAN, WHICH FIVE LAST WERE TALLISMEN, TWELVE GOOD AND LAWFUL MEN OF COUNTY WHO BEING DULY SWORN WELL

AND TRULY TO TRY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE CASE DO SAY UPON THEIR SOLEMM OATHS THAT (ABOUT FOUR LINES IMPOSSIBLE TO READ, OVERWRITTEN.).... THE SUM OF TWENTY #

I04.

CON'T.

DOLLARS, AND ASSESSED THE DAMAGE AT FORTY DOLLARS FOR THE DETENTION OF THE DEBT AFORESAID WHERE UPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT(REST IMPOSSIBLE TO READ).

PG. 107

//JOHN HUSTON VS SUSAN HUSTON

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

THIS DAY CAME THE SAID JOHN HUSTON AND THE SAID SUSAN HUSTON BEING THREE TIMES SOLEMMLY CALLED CAME NOT BUT MADE DEFAULT. PROOF BEING THEREUPON MADE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE COURT THAT NOTICE OF THE PENDENCY OF THIS PETITION WAS DULY GIVEN BY THE SAID ADVERTISEMENT AFORESAID BEING INSERTED AFORESAID, AND THAT THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE SAID PETITION ARE TRUE THAT THE SAID JOHN WAS DULY MARRIED TO THE SAID SUSAN AND THAT SAID SUSAN DID COMMIT AS SAID ALLEGATION ALLEDGED, THE CRIME OF ADULTERY WITH THE SAID WILLIAM H. PANCOST, AND THAT SAID JOHN IS INTITLED TO THE RELIEF WROUGHT WHEREUPON THE COURT DO ORDER SENTENCE ADJUDGED AND DECREE THAT THE MARRIAGE CONTRACT SUBSISTING BETWEEN THE SAID JOHN AND THE SAID SUSAN BE HENCEFORTH DISOLVED AND BOTH PARTIES FREED FROM THE S_CUN_. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED THAT THE SAID JOHN HUSTON PAY THE COSTS OF THIS PETITION AND THAT EXECUTION ISSUE THEREFOR AS AT LAW.

PG. 108

// THOMAS LOFTLAND VS CHARLES STEWART

TRESPASS ON THE ### DAMAGES \$700.00

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THE CAUSE IS AT ISSUE. AND THEREUPON CAME A JURY TO WIT:

BENJIMAN CROOKS, CHARLES SPOONER, JOSEPH BARNES, LEWIS DAY, JOHN HALFERTY, JACOB SWITZER, SAMMUEL SIMPSON, ROBERT SORRELS, THOMAS C. TERRY, JAMES DRENNAN, SAMUEL STEWART, AND SPOONER RIGGLES WHICH LAST FOUR WERE TALLISMEN, TWELVE GOOD AND LAWFUL MEN OF SAID COUNTY WHO BEING DULY SWORN TRYED AND ELECTED WELL AND TRULY TO TRY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE CASE DO SAY UPON THEIR SOLEMM OATHS THAT THE DEFENDENT DID NOT ASSUME AND P OMISE IN MANNER AND FORM AS THECPLAINTIFF IN HIS DECLARATION HATH ALLEDGED. WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE DEFEN_DENT RECOVER OF THE PLAINTIFF HIS COSTS AND CHARGES ABOUT HIS DEFENCE IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE PLAINTIFF IN MERCY BE. //

JOSEPH ##### WILLIAMS

VS

JACOB PAINTER

. SUMMONS COVENANT DAMAGES \$500.00

. THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND ON MOTION OF IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE DEFENDENT HAVE LEAVE TO AMEND HIS PLEA IN THIS CASE UPON THE PAYMENT OF THE COSTS SUBSEQUENT TO THAT FILINF OF THE CASE IN THE COURT BEFORE SIX MONTHS FROM THIS TERM AND THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL .NEXT TERM.

| //ABRAHAM | NYE | SIIMMONS | TRESPASS | ON | ጥዘክ | CASE |
|-----------|------|----------|----------|-----|-----|-------------------------|
| VS | | | \$150.00 | 011 | | Q 22 Q 22 |
| AMOS WILI | IAMS | | | | | |

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THIS CAUSE IS AT ISSUE AND THEREUPON CAME A JURY TO WIT: BENJIMAN CROOKS, CHARLES SPOONER, JOSEPH BARNES, LEWIS DAY, JOHN HALFERTY, JACOB SWITZER, SAMUEL SIMPSON, ROBERT SORRELS, THOMAS C. TERRY, JAMES DRENNAN, SAMUEL STEWART, AND SPOONER RUGGLES, WHICH LAST FOUR ARE TALLISMEN, TWELVE GOOD AND LAWFUL PG.IIO. MEN OF SAID COUNTY WHO BEING DULY ELECTED TRYED AND SWORN WELL AND TRULY TO TRY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE CASE WHO AFTER HEARING THE PROOFS AND ARGUMENTS OF THEIR COUNCIL OF THE PARTIES DO SAY THAT THE DEFENDENT DID ASSUME AND PROMISE HIMMANNER AND FORM AS THE SAID PLAINTIFF IN HIS DECLARATION HATH ALLEDGED AGAINST CON'T, PG. IIO. HIMAND ASSESSED THE PLAINTIFF DAMAGES AT TWENTY SEVEN DOLLARS AND TWENTY TWO CENTS WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE SUM AFORESAID ASSESSED BY THE JURY AFORESAID IN FORM AFORESAID.

| // JOSEPH CAIRNS | PG. | III. |
|--|---|------|
| VS JOSEPH WELCH | IN ERROR PG II TRESPASS GUAN? | 2 |
| | (IMPOSSIBLE TO READ, THREE PAGES) PART OF PG 113 | • |
| JUDGEMENT WAS | REVERSED AND JOSEPH CAIRNS RESTORED OF ALL THINGS LOST. | |
| // JOHN MC COY VS JOSEPH CAIRNS | PG I SUMMONS TRESPASS ON THE CASE DAMAGES \$1000.00 | 13 |
| | THIS DAY CAME THE PERTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND ON MOT COUNCIL THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED. THE SAID DEFENDENT PAYING TH PERM WITHIN SIX MONTHS. | |
| // LYDIA B. PAN VS | PETITION FOR DIVORCE | |

WILLIAM H. PANCOST

THIS DAY CAME THE SAID PETITIONER BY J.M. MAY HER COUNCIL AND DISMISSED SAID PETITION.

PG. II4

// BELINCEA HULL

VS ·

JAMES HULL

FI FA RETURNAE TO THIS TERM OR THE SALE OF LANDS AND SHERRIFF RETURN THEREOF

THE SAID SHERIFF RETURNED SAID WRIT AND THAT HE HAD SOLD THE SOUTH ### EAST QUARTER OF SECTION NUMBER FOUR IN TOWNSHIP NUMBER TWENTY TWO AND RANGE NUMBER SIXTEEN WITH THE EXCEPTION OF FIFTY TWO AND ONE FIFTH ACRES PREVIOUSLY SOLD TO AND NOW OWNED BY SOLOMON URIE JUN" AND THIRTY ACRES SOLD TO AND PRESENTLY HELD BY NANCLE MARK THE BALANCE AFTER THE AFORESAID DEDUCTION BEING SEVENTY SEVEN AND FOUR FIFTH ACRES NOWASSESS TO ANJACOB WILSON OF SAID CONNTY FOR THE SUM OF TWO HUNDRED AND TWENTY FOUR DOLLARS REF____BEING HAD TO SAID RET___ AND PROGEEDINGS THEREON WILL APPEAR AND THE COURT HAVING CAREFULLY EXAMINED THE PROCEEDINGS OF SAID SHERIFF IN THIS CASEAND SATISFIED THAT THE SAID OF THE SAID LAND AND REAL ESTATE AFORESAID TO THE SAID JACOB WILSON BY VIRTUE OF SAID WRIT ####### OFFICER HAD AS A ______ HAS IN ALL RESPECTS BEEN MADE IN CONFORMITY TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE STATUTE REGULATING JUDGEMENTS AND EXECUTIONS, THE COURT THEREFOR DIRECT THEIR CLERK TO MAKE ENTRY ON THEIR JOURNAL THAT THEY ARE SATISFIED OF THE LEGALITY OF SUCH SALE AND ORDER THAT THE SAID SHERIFF MAKE TO THE SAID PURCHASER JACOB WILSON A DEED FOR SAID LAND ABOVE DISCRIBED ACCORDING TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE STATUTE IN THIS SUITCAN MADE AND PROVIDED. PG. II5. WHEREUPON THE COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING 8 OCLOCK

PETER HITCHCOCK, PRESIDING JUDGE

//SATURDAY SEPTEMBER 11TH 1824, THE COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT THE SAME JUDGES AS YESTERDAY.

// FRANCIS JOHNSTON VS JOSEPH CAIRNS & JAMES IRVIN

TRESPASS

DAMAGES\$3000.00

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND BY CONSENT, CASE CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT

// ROBERT WILKEY VS JANE WILKEY

VSPETITION FOR DIVORCEJANE WILKEYTHIS CAUSE CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM

//JOSEPH WRIGHT &

JOHN WRIGHT ADMINISTRARORS

VS ALEXANDER WRIGHT DEFENDENTS

IN PARTITION

UPON THE HEARING AND READING OF SAID PETITION AS ABOVE SET FORTH AND IT APPEARING TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE COURT THAT THE SAID DEMANDENTS IN SAID PRTITION. HAD FORTY DAY PREVOOUS TO THE PRESENT TERM OF THIS COURT GIVEN DUE AND LEGAL NOTICE ACCORDING TO THE STATUTE IN SUCH CASE MADE AND PROVIDED TO THE DEFENDENT ALEXANDER WRIGHT BY PUBLICATION INCERTED IN THE MANSFIELD GAZETTE A PUBLIC NEWSPAPER PRINTED IN THE SAID TOWN OF MANSFIELD RICHLAND COUNTY OHIO, WHERE PART OF SAID LAND LIES AND IN GENERAL CIRCULATION IN EACH OF SAID COUNTIES NAMED IN SAID PETITION WHERE IN SAID LAND LAY, AND AND CONTINUED IN SAID PAPER FOR FORTY DAYS SAID ADVERTISEMENT SETTING FORTH THAT BAID DEMANDANTS WOULD PRESENT THEIR PETITION TO THIS COURT PG. 117 FOR THE PETITIONS OF SAID LANDS AND DEMAND OF OUR DEMANDANTS IN THEIR SAID PETITION SET FORTH, AND IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE COURT THAT THE SAID DEMANDANTS HAVE EACH OF THEM, A GOOD AND LEGAL RIGHT IN FEE SIMPLE TO OWN UNDIVIDED THERE PART OF ALL AND EACH OF SAID LANDS IN SAID PRTITION DESCRIBED AND NO SUFFICIENT REASON APPEARING TO THE COURT WHY PARTITION OF SAID LANDS SHOULD NOT BE MADE ACCORDING TO THE PRAYER OF SAID PETITION THE COURT THEREFOR AT THIS TERM ORDEMAND DIRECT PARTITION OF ALL AND EACH OF SAID LANDS TO BE HAD AND MADE ACCORDING TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE ACT ENTITLED AN ACT TO PROVIDE FOR THE PARTITION OF REAL ESTATE AND THAT EACH OF THE DEMMINDANTS INTEREST THESE IN SEEING TO EACH ONE AN UNDIVIDED THIRD PART THEREOF. BE SET OFF DIVIDED AND APARTED TO EACH OF THEM IN SEVERALLY ACCORDING TO THE SAID ACT AND TO THE PRAYER OF SAID PARTITIONER THE SAID DEMANDANTS BEING TENANTS IN COMMON WITH THE SAID ALEXANDER WRIGHT DEFENDENT IN SAID LANDS. PG. II8 EQUALLY DIVIDED.

 \prod

JONATHAN EASTMAN FOR THE USE OF THOMAS LOFFLAND VS JOHN C. GILKINSON PG. II9

DEBT.\$120.00

THE DEFENDENT IN THIS CAUSE BY HIS ATTORNIES CAME INTO ON COURTAND EXHIBITED HIS AFFIDAVITS OF HAVING DISCOVERED NEW EVIDENCE IN THE TRIAL OF THE ABOVE CAUSE AND MOVED

THE COURT FOR A NEW TRIAL WHEREUPON SAID MOTION IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM UNDER ADVISEMENT.

// WILLIAMS VS MARIA WILLIAMS

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

THIS DAY CAME THE SAID###### PETITIONER BY J.M.MAY HIS ATTORNEY AND TT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID PETITION IN THIS CAUSE BE DISMISSED

PG I20.

// IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT ALL JUDGEMENTS AND DECREES IN CASES APPEARED OR COME UP INTO COURT HERE BY WRIT OF ERROR, FROM THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS BE CERTIFIED TO SAID COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WITH A SPECIAL MANDATE TO CARRY THE SAME INTO EFFECT BY EXECUTION.

WHEREUPON THE COURT ADJOURNED WITH OUT DAY

PETER HITCHCOCK. PRESIDING JUDGE.

PG. I2I. //AT A SUPREME COURT BEGAN AND HELD AT MANSFIELD IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND AND STATE OF OHIO ON MONDAY THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF AUGUST IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD ONE THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED AND TWENTY FIVE, PRESTNT THE HOMERABLE PETER HITCHCOCK AND CHARLES B(R) SHERMAN JUDGES OF SAID COURT AND TOOK THEIR SEATS.

// ROBERT WILKEY .

VS

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

THIS DAY CAME THE SAID PETITIONER BY JOHN M. MAY HIS COUNCIL JANE WILKEY AND DISCONTINUES HIS SAID PETITION. WHEREUPON IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT ADJUDGED AND CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID DEFENDENT PAY THE COSTS OF SAID PETITION WITHIN SIX MONTHS AFTER THIS TERM.

// FRANCIS JOHNSTON VS JOSEPH CAIRNES & JAMES IRVIN

SUMMONS TRESPASS ON THE CASE DAMAGES \$3000.00

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR COUNCIL AND THIS CAUSE IS SETTLED AT THE COSTS OF THE DEFENDENT WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT HIS COSTS AND CHARGES ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE. PG. 122.

//SARAH PHIPPS VS

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

ROBERT PHIPPS

. THIS DAY CAME THE SAID SARAH PHIPPS BY ANDREW COFFINBERRY HER COUNCIL AND IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID PETITION IN THIS CASE BE DISMISSED.

//SAMUEL BALDRIDGE

VS ALEXANDER MC GAFFICK

AMICABLE ACTION DAMAGES\$140.00

THIS DAY CAME THE SAID SAMBEL BALDRIDGE BY HIS ATTORNEY AND THE SAID . ALEXANDER MC GAFFICK THE DEFENDENT BEING THREE TIMES SOLEMMLY CALLED TO COME INTO COURT CAME NOT BUT MADE DEFAULT WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE SUM OF ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTY FOUR DOLLARS AND TWENTY CENTS DAMAGES ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE PG. 123.

JOSEPH WILLIAMSSUMMONS COVENANTVSDAMAGES \$500.00 JACOB PAINTER

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR COUNCIL AND ON MOTION THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL THE NEXT TERM OF COURT.

// NANCY MUSSELMAN VS

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

CHRISTIAN MUSSELMAN

THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

// ÉZRA DEAN ESQUIRE, HAVING BEEN DULY EXAMINED AND ADMITTED TO PRACTICE AS AN ATTORNEY AND COUNSELLAR AT LAW, IN THE SEVERAL COURTS OF RECORD WITHIN THIS STATE APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND TOOK HIS OATH TO SUPPORT THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES AND OF THIS STATE AND ALSO THAT OF ATTORNEY AND COUNSELLOR AT LAW AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW, AND THERE UPON RECEIVED A CERTIFICATE THEREOF.

PG. 124.

// MARCA VS PETITION FOR DIVORCE WILLIAM WILLAMS

THIS DAY CAME THE SAID MARIA WILLIAMS AND THE SAID WILLIAM WILLIAMS BEING THREE TIMES SOLEMMLY CALLED CAME NOT, BUT MADE DEFAULT. PROOF BEING THEREUPON MADE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE COURT, THAT NOTICE OF THE PENDENCY OF THIS PETITION WAS DULY GIVEN BY THE SAID ADVERTISEMENT AFORESAID BEING INVERTED AS AFORESAID AND THAT THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE SAID PETITION ARE TRUE, THAT THE SAID MARIA WAS DULY MARRIED TO THE SA ID WILLIAM AND THAT THE SAID WILLIAM DID COMMITT ADULTRY AS IN SAID PETITION ALLEDGES WITH ONE POLLY EURNSIDES, AND THAT THE SAID MARIA IS INTITLED TO THE RELIEF SOUGHT, WHEREUPON THE COURT DO ORDER SENTENCE ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT THE MARRIAGE CONTRACT SUBSISTING BETWEEN THE SAID MARIA AND WILLIAM BE HENCEFORTH DISOLVED AND BOTH PARTIES FREED FROM THE OBLIGATIONS OF THE SAME, IT IS FURTHER ORDEREDAND CLEARED THAT THE SAID MARIA RETAIN AND HOLD ALL THE PERSONAL PROPERTY IN HER POSSESSION AS HER OWN PG. 125. AND ALSO SUCH PROPERTY AS MONEY OR OTHER BE COMMING TO HER BY DEVISE OR DECENT OF HER FURTHER OR ANY OTHER WAY OR MANNER.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED THAT THE SAID WILLIAM PAY THE COSTS OF THIS PETITION AND THAT EXECUTION ISSUE THEN AS AT LAW.

//JOHN MC COY

| VS | SUMMONS | TRESPASS | ON | THE | CASE |
|---------------|----------|-------------------|----|-----|------|
| JOSEPH CAIRNS | DAMAGESS | SI0 00. 00 | | | |

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIESAND OR _____ IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE CAUSE BE CONTINUED UNTIL THE NEXT TERM UPON THE SAID DEFENDENT PAYING THE COSTS OF THIS TERM WITHIN SIX MONTHS FROM THIS TERM.

// JAMES GIVEN VS

MARIA GIVIN

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

THE CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM?

| 11 | JONATHAN EASTMAN | | | |
|----|-------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| | FOR THE USE OF THOMAS | LOFFLAND. | SUMMONS | DEBT.\$120.00 |
| | VS JOHN C. GILKINSON | | DAMAGES | \$100.00 |

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THERE ATTORNIES PG 126. AND THE MOTION HERETOFOR WAS MADE FOR A NEW TRIAL IS GRANTED. UPON THE PAYMENT OF THE COSTS OF THE LAST TERM. OF THIS COURT IN SIX MONTHS FROM THIS TERM AND IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT UPON FAILURE THEREOF JUDGEMENT TO BE ENTERED ON THE VERDICT OF THE JURY AND THE CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

 //JOHN LINDSEY
 PETITION FOR DIVORCE

 VS
 THIS DAY CAME THE SAID PETITIONER BY HIS ATTORNEY AND THE SAID

 REBECCA LINDSEY
 DEFENDENT BY HER COUNCIL AND AFTER HEARING THE PROOFS AND

 ALLEGATIONS OF THE PARTIES, IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT

 THAT THE SAID BILL BE DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJIDICE TO EITHER PARTY AND IT IS FURTHER

 ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID PETITIONER PAY THE COSTS OF SAID PETITION WITH

 IN SIX MONTHS FROM THE RISING OF THIS COURT.

// JUDEFH WRIGHT & JOHN WRIGHT VS

PARTITION

ALEXANDER WRIGHT IT APPEARING TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE COURT THAT HENRY H. WILCOXON ONE OF THE COMMITTEE

APPOINTED BY THIS COURT AT THEIR LAST TERM TO MAKE PARTITION OF THE ABOVE PREMISES WAS IN CONSEQUENCE OF HIS REMOVAL AND SICKNESS OF HIS FAMILY AND IS UNABLE TO ATTEND TO THE DUTIES OF HIS APPOINTMENT. AND TO MAKE THR PARTIONERS AFORESAID AS ORDERED AND DIRECTED BY THE COURT. IT IS THEREFOR ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT HE BE REMOVED FROM HIS SAID APPOINTMENT AND THAT WILLIAM PATTERSON A JUDICIARY AND DISINTERESTED PERSON AND FREEHOLDER OF THE VICINITY AND WHO IS NOT OF KIN TO THE SAID DEMANDANTS OR THE DEFENDENT BEING THE PARTIES CONCERNED# BE AND IS HEREBY APPOINTED TOGETHER WITH THE SAID JOHN STEWART AND JOHN REED TO MAKE THE PARTITIONS SO AS AFORESAID PRAYED AND GRANTED, AND THAT THE SAID SHERIFF BY THE OATHS OF THE SAID JOHN STEWART , JOHN REED, AND WILLIAM PATTERSON CAUSE TO BE SET OFF AND DIVIDED TO THE DEMANDANTS IN SAID PARTITION THEIR SEVERAL PARTS AND PROPORATIONS OF SAID PREMISES AND ESTATES AS ORDERED AND DIRECTED BY THE COURT AFORESAID IN THE SAID ORDER ABOVE FIRST GRANTED, AND THAT A WRIT OF PARTITIONS ISSUED TO THE SHERIFF OF THIS COUNTY IN PERSUANCE OF THIS AND THE FORMER ORDER IN DUE FORM OF LAW TO MAKE THE PARTITION AFORESAID AND THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED. PG. 128.

//HARRIETT CAIRNS ٧S

JOSEPH CAIRNS

PETITION FOR ALIMONY, ON MOTION OF THE DEFENDENT. FOUNDED UPON AN AFFIDAVIT THIS SUIT IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM OF THIS COURT, AND IT IS ORDERED THAT THE

DEFENDENT PAY THE COSTS OF THIS TERM WITHIN SIX MONTHS, AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID DEFENDENT PAY INTO THE CLERK OF THIS COURT AS A PRESENT SUPPORT WITHIN SIX MONTHS FROM THIS TERM OF THIS COURT THE SUM OF TWENTY DOLLARS WITHIN THE PERIOD OF ONE YEAR FROM THIS TERM FOR THE USE OF SAID HARRIETT AND THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

// WHEREUPON THE COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING 8 OCLOCK PETER HITCHCOCK. PRESIDING JUDGE.

PG. 129.

pg. I30

//TUESDAY AUGUST THE 16TH 1825, COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT, PRESENT THE SAME JUDGES AS YESTERDAY.

//JAMES GIVIN PETITION FOR DIVORCE

VS MARIA GIVIN

THIS DAY CAME THE SAID PETITIONER BY HIS COUNCIL AND ON MOTION THE CONTINUANCE IN THIS CASE ENTERED YESTERDAY IS SET ASIDE

AND THEREFOR IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT AFTER HEARING THE PROOFS AND ALLEGATIONS OF THE SAID PETITIONER THAT SAID PETITION IS DISMISSED WITHOUT PRE-JUDICE TO EITHER PARTY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID PETITIONER PAY THE COSTS OF SAID PETITION WITHIN SIX MONTHS FROM THE USEING OF THE COURT.

 Π

ROBERT POLLOCK

IN ERROR

VS MICHEAL BUNTING

THIS CAUSE IS TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT TO BE DECIDED AT JO COURT IN THIS CIRCUT TO BE CERTIFIED BACK UNDER THE SEAL OF SAID COURT AND JUDGEMENT TO BE ENTERED THERE AS OF THIS TERM.

// IT IS ORDERED BT THE COURT THAT ALL JUDGEMENTS AND DECREES IN CASES APPEALED IN OR COME UP INTO ##### COURT HERE BY WRIT OF ERROR FROM THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS BE CERTIFIED TO SAID COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AT THEIR NEXT TERM WITH A SPECIAL MANDATE TO CARRY THE SAME INTO EFFECT BY EXECUTION

// WHEREUPON THE COURT ADJOURNED WITHOUT DAY PETER HITCHCOCK, PRESIDING JUDGE. // THE STATE OF OHIO, FAIRFIELD COUNTY ss

SUPREME COURT HOLDERS AT LANCASTER IN AND FOR THE COUNTY AFORESAID ON THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER A.D. 1825, PRESENT THE HONERABLE CALVIN PEASE PETER HITCHCOCK AND C.R. SHERMAN JUDGES OF SAID COURT.

ROBERT POLLOCK PLFF.

VS

IN ERROR

MICHEAL BUNTING DEFF.

THIS CAUSE WAS BROUGHT FROM THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND UNDER ADVISEMENT, AND THE COURT HERE HAVING HEARD AND SEEN AND INSPECTED THE PROCEEDINGS AND JUDGEMENT OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AND HAVING HEARD THE ARGUMENTS OF COUNCIL, AND HAVING FULLY CONSIDERED THE SAME,

ARE OF OPINION, THERE IS NO ERROR IN THE PROCEEDINGS JUDGEMENT AND RECORD OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, IT IS THEREFOR ORDERED ADJUDGED, THAT THE SAID JUDGEMENT OF THE SAID COURT OF COMMON PLEAS BE AFFIRMED IN ALL THINGS AND THAT THE SAID MICHEAL RECOVER OF THE S AID ROBERT HIS COSTS BY HIM ABOUT HIS DEFENCE IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED. AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE CLERK OF THIS COURT, CAUSE A CERTIFIED COPY OF THIS ORDER TO BE SENT TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND WITH A SPECIAL ORDER THAT HE ENTER THE SAME UPON THE MINUTES OF SAID SUPRAME COURT FOR SAID COUNTY, AND THAT HE ISSUE A SUMMARY TRANSCRIPT OF THIS JUDGEMENT WITH A SPECIAL MANDATE TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR SAID · COUNTY TO CARRY THE SAME INTO EXECUTION.

(SEAL)

IN TESTIMONY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TR UE COPY TAKEN FROM THE RECORDS OF THE COURT AFORESAID FOR THE SAID COUNTY OF FAIRFIELD I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT AT LANCASTER THIS IOTH DAY OF MAY A.D. 1826

HUGH BOYLE

CLERK. LANCASTER COURT.

 Π

PG. 132.

AT A SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF OHIO BEGAN AND HELD AT MANSFIELD, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND AND THE STATE OF OHIO AFORESAID ON THE 4TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD ONE THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED AND TWENTY SIX PRESENT THE HONERABLE CALVIN PEASE AND JACOB BURNET JUDGES OF SAID COURT.

ONILCOTION (ON MOTION) Π

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT AN ATTACHMENT ISSUE AGAINST THE BODIES OF MARGARET MALONEY AND BETSY (BETSEY) MOWERY WHO APPEAR TO HAVE BEEN REGULARY SUBP_CO NOW TO ATTEND AS WITNESSES AT THIS COURT

11 JONATHAN EASTMAN FOR THE USE OF THOMAS LOFFLAND VS

JOHN C. GILKINSON

SUMMONS DEBT, \$120.00 DAMAGES \$100.00

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THIS CAUSE IS AT ISSUE AND THEREFORE CAME A JURY TO WIT: WILLIAM BULL, JAMES FOEGELSON. JOHN SPRINGER, ISAAC HITCHCOCK, JOHN SCOTT, DAVID FLEMMING, JOHN MC CLURE, JOSEPH FRIEND, THOMAS ROSS. BENJIMAN POTTS, CALVIN MOREHEAD, PG. 133 AND STEVEN SMITH, TWELVE GOOD AND LAWFULL MEN OF RICHLAND COUNTY, WHO BEING DULY ELECTED TRIED AND SWORN WELL AND TRULY TO TRY THIS ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE CASE DO SAY UPON THEIR SOLEMM OATHS AND AFFIX MOTIONS THAT THE SAID DEFENDENT DID NOT PAY SAID DEBT AND IN HIS PLEA HATH ALLEDGED AND THEREUPON THEY FIND THE DAID DEFENDENT INDEBTED TO THE SAID PLAINTIFF THE SUM OF SEVENTY DOLLARS AND ASSESS THE PLAINTIFF DAMAGES AT FORTY NINE DOLLARS FOR THE DETENTION OF THE DEBT AFORESAID WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFEN- 2 DENT THE SAID DEBT FOUND BY THE JURY AFORESAID TOGETHER WITH HIS DAMAGES ASSESSED BY THE JURY AFORESAID FOR THE DETENTION OF THE DEBT AFORESAID TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES TAXEDS AT \$_____ AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

// ON MOTION

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT AN ATTACHMENT ISSUE AGAINST THE BODY OF NANCY DOWNS WHO APPEARED TO HAVE BEEN REGULARY SUBPEONED TO ATTEND AS A WITNESS AT THIS COURT.

// JOSEPH WILSON VS SUMMONS TRESPASS CASE PETER TERLEY DAMAGES \$1000.00

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THIS CAUSE IS AT ISSUE AND THEREUPON CAME A JURY TO WIT: WILLIAM BULL, JAMES FORGESON, JOHN SPRINGER, ISAAC HITCHCOCK, JOHN SCOTT, DAVID FLEMIMG, JOHN MC CLUNG, JOSEPH FRIEND, THOMAS ROSS, BENJIMAN POTTS, CHARLES STEWART, AND HENRY (######, KECTH, VECTH). TWELVE GOOD LAWFULL MEN OF SAID COUNTY WHO BEING DULY ELECTED TRIED AND SWORN, WELL AND TRULY TO TRY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE CASE WHO HEARD THE PROOFS ALLEGATIONS AND JUDGEMENTS OF THE COUNCIL SAY UPON THERE SOLEMM OATHS AND AFFIRMATIONS THAT THE DEFENDENT IS GUILTY IN MANNER AND FORM AS ALLEDGED AGAINST HIM IN THE PLAINTIFF DECLARATION AND ASSESSED THE PLAINTIFF DAMAGE AT FIFTY DOLLARS.

// REBECCA GAMBLE VS

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

HENRY GAMBLE

THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

PG. I35.

pg. I34.

PG. 133

// NANCY MUSSELMAN VS

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

CHRISTIAN MUSSELMAN

THIS DAY CAME THE PETITIONER BY JOHN M. MAY HER ATTORNEY AND DISMISSED HER SAID PETITION:

// WHEREUPON IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT ADJOURN UNTIL TO MORROW MORNING SOCLOCK.

CALVIN PEASE, CHIEF JUDGE.

// TUESDAY SEPTEMBER 5TH 1826 COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT THE SAME JUDGES AS YESTERDAY

// JOHN WRIGHT & JOSEPH WRIGHT

PARTITION

ALEXANDER WRIGHT

THIS REPORT AND PETITION OF THE COMMITTEE AND SHERIFF IN THIS CASE HAVING BEEN READ AND INSPECTED BY THE COURT"THE SAME IS ACCEPTED CONFIRMED AND ORDERED TO BE RECORDED WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PETITION SO MADE AS AFORESAID TO BE FIRM AND EFFECTUAL IN LAW. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT EACH OF SAID DEMANDANTS TOGETHER WITH SAID DEFENDENT PAY EACH ONE THIRD PART OF THE COSTS AND EXPENCES ACCRUED IN SAID PARTITION TAXED BY THE COURT AT THE SUM OF EIGHTY DOLLARS AND FIFTY EIGHT CENTS AND THAT THE PROPORTION TO BE PAID BY EACH IS TWENTY SIX DOLLARS AND EIGHTY SIX CENTSBEING ONE THIRD OF THE WHOLE TAXABLE COSTS AND EXPENCES. PG. I36.

//JOSEPH WILSON VS

VS . PETER TERLEY

. SUMMONS TRESPASS CASE DAMAGES \$1000.00

THURSDAY CAME THE DEFENDENT BY HIS COUNCIL MAY & STANBERRY AND MOVES TO SET ASIDE THE VERDICT OF THE JURY GIVEN IN THIS CASE AND GRANT A NEW TRIAL ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS TO COURT. IST. THE VERDICT IS AGAINST THE EVIDENCE GIVEN IN THE CAUSE TO THE JURY 2ND THE VERDICT IS AGAINST LAW 3RD THE VERDICT IS GIVEN WITHOUT ANY EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT SAID ACTION AND WITHOUT PROOF OF ACTIONSABLELWORDS SPOKEN BY DEFENDENT OF THE PLAINTIFF. PG. 137

FU. 100.

// JOHN MC COY VS SUMMONS TRESPASS ON THE CASE JOSEPH M. CAIRNS DAMAGES \$1000.00

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THIS CAUSE IS AT ISSUE AND THEREUPON CAME A JURY TO WIT: WILLIAM BULL, JAMES FORGESON, JOHN SPRINGER, ISAAC HITCHCOCK, JOHN SCOTT, DAVID FLEMING, JOHN MC CLUNG, JOSEPH FRIEND, THOMAS ROSS, BENJIMAN POTTS, STEPHEN SMITH, AND JOHN PIPER, TWELVE GOOD AND LAWFULL MEN OF SAID COUNTY WHO BEING DULY ELECTED TRIED AND SWORN WELLAND TRULY TO TRY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE CASE WHO HEARD THE PROOFS ALLEGATIONS AND ARGUMENTS OF THE COUNCIL AND **METIRED**.

// JOHN WRIGHT VS

. .

| VS | 5 | | SUMMONS TRESPASS |
|-------|----|-------|-------------------|
| HENRY | L. | BELL. | ASULT AND BATTERY |
| | | | DAMAGES \$500.00 |

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR COUNCIL AND ON MOTION OF DEFENDENTS IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THIS CAUSE BE CONTINUED UNTIL THE NEXT TERM AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE DEFENDENT PAY THE COSTS OF THIS TERM WITHIN SIX MONTHS FROM THIS TERM. PG. I38

| // HENRY CLAPPER | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| VS | SUMMONS TRESPASS |
| ABRAHAM NYE | ASULT AND BATTERY AND |
| & JOHN PIPER | FALSE IMPRISIONMENT |
| | DAMAGES \$500.00 |

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THIS CAUSE IS AT ISSUE AND THEREUPON CAME A JURY TO WIT: ANDREW NEWMAN, RALPH HARDENBROOK, BENJIMAN HARTMAN, RUBEN BOGGS, JOSEPH BARNES, DAVID KENNEDY, BENJIMAN DEEN, ANDREW THOMPSON, THOMAS WATTS, SAMUEL BUCKIAS, SAMUEL MC BRIDE, AND JOHN PUGH, TWELVE GOOD AND LAWFULL MEN OF SAID COUNTY WHO BEING DULY ELECTEC TRIED AND SWORN WELL AND TRULY TO TRY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE CASE WHO HEARD THE PROOFS ALLEGATIONS AND ARGUMENTS OF THE COUNCIL.

| 11 | JOHN MC COY | | SUMMONS | TRESPASS | ON THE | CASE |
|----|-------------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------|
| | VS JOSEPH | CAIRNS | DAMAGES | | \$1000 | •00 |

THE JURY RETURNED INTO COURT AND UPON THEIR SOLEMM OATHS AND AFFIRMATIONS THAT THE SAID DEFENDENT UNDERTAKE AND PROMISE IN MANNER AND FORM ALLEDGED AGAINST HIM IN THE PLAINTIFFS DECLARATION AND ASSESSED THE PLAIN (TIFF DAMAGES AT TWO HUNDRED AND SEVENTY FIVE DOLLARS WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE SUM AFORESAID ASSESSED BY THE JURY AFORESAID IN FORM AFORESAID TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES PG. 139. ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

// JOHN LINDSEY

VS PETITION FOR DIVORCE REBECCA LINDSEY THIS DAY CAME THE **PERTTESNER** AND BY PARKER HIS COUNCIL AND THE SAID DEFENDENT BY S. COFFINBERRY HER COUNCIL AND THE COURT AFTER HEARING THE PROOFS AND ALLEGATIONS OF THE PARTIES, ORDERED SAID PETITION TO BE DISMISSED AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID PETITIONER PAY THE COSTS OF SAID PETITION WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE RISING OF THIS COURT. // HENRY CLAPPER VS JOHN PIPER & ABRAHAM NYE

SUMMONS TRESPASS ASULT & BATTERY & FALSE IMPRISIONMENT DAMAGES \$500.00

FG. 139.

THE JURY RETURNED INTO COURT AND UPON THEIR SOLEMM OATHS PG. 140. DO SAY THAT THE DEFENDENTS ARE GUILTY IN MANNER AND FORM AS ALLEDGED AGAINST THEM IN THE PLAINTIFF S DECLARATION AND ASSESSED THE PLAINTIFFS DAMAGES AGAINST THE DEFENDENT JOHN PIPER AT ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY SEVEN DOLLARS AN ASSESS THE PLAINTIFF DA AGES AGAINST THE DEFENDENT ABRAHAM NYE AT SEVENTY FOUR DOLLARS.

// WHEREUPON THE COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL TO MORROW MORNING 8 O CLOCK

CALVIN PEASE. CHIEF JUDGE.

WEDNESDAY SEPTEMBER 6TH 1826 COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT THE SAME JUDGES AS YESTERDAY.

// JOSEPH WILLIAMS VS

JACOB PAINTER

 \prod

IN COVENANT

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THE COURT HAVING HEARD THE ARGUMENTS OF COUNCIL AN THE DEMURRER TO DEFENDENTS SECOND PLEA AND HAVING FULLY ADVISED OF THE PREMINS ARE OF OPINION THAT THE PLEA SECONDLY ABOVE PLEADER IS INSUFFICIENT AND IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED BY THE COURT PG. 141. THAT THE SAID DEMURRER BE SUSTAINED WHEREUPON ON MOTION OF DEFENDENT HE HAS LEAVE TO AMEND HIS PLEA IN THIS CASE ON PAYMENTS OF ALL COSTS WHICH HAVE ACCRUED IN THIS CAUSE SINCE THE LAST ORDERS MADE HERE IN GIVING TIME TO DEFENDENT TO AMEND HIS PLEA AND THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THE COSTS BE PAID WITHIN SIX MONTHS FROM THIS TERM.

//HARRIETT CAIRNS

PETITION FOR ALIMONY

JOSEPH CAIRNS

VS

THIS DAY CAME THF PARTIES BY THEIR COUNCIL AND THE COURT HAVING HEARD THE PROOFS AND ALLEGATIONS OF THE PARTIES AND DULY CONSIDERED THE SAME ARE OF OPINION THAT THE FACTS STATED IN THE COMPLAINTANTS PETITION ARE TRUE, AND THAT THE COMMINIANT IS INTITLED TO THE RELIEF THEREIN SOUGHT. WHEREUPON IT IS ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID JOSEPH CAIRNS PAY TO THE CLERK OF THIS COURT FOR THE USE OF THE SAID HARRIETT BEFORE THE RISING OF THIS COURT THE SUM OF FIFTY DOLLARS AND THE COSTS ON THIS SUIT AS THE EXECUTION ISSUE # THEREFOR, WHICH SUM OF FIFTY DOLLARS IS IN LIEW OF THE SUM OF FORTY DOLLARS WHICH WAS BY THE ORDER OF THIS COURT AT THE LAST TERM DIRECTED TO BE PAID TO THE SAID HARRIETT FOR HER ALIMONY DURING THE PENDENCY OF THISSUIT. AND WHICH WAS HEARD NOT AGREEABLY TO SAID ORDER AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED THAT THE SAID JOSEPH PAY TO THE CLERK OF THIS COURT FOR THE USE OF SAID HARRIETT FOR HER FUTURE ALIMONY THE YEARLY SUM OF FIFTY DOLLARS TO BE PAID IN SIMI ANNUL PG. 142 INSTALMENTS OF TWENTY FIVE DOLLARS FACH. THE FIRST INSTALLMENT TO BE PAID IN SIX MONTHS FROM THE SIGNING OF THIS DECREE AND THE FURTHER SUM OF TWENTY FIVE DOLLARS

AT THE END OF EACH SIX MONTHS THEREAFTER DURING THE JOINT LIVES OF THE SAID HARRIETT AND JOSEPH AND IF THE SAID JOSEPH SHALL FAIL TO MAKE PAYMENTS OF ANY SAID INSTALLMENT AT THE TIME THAT THE SAME SHALL BECOME DUE AND PAYABLE ACCORDING TO THE TERMS OF THIS DECREE THE CLERK OF THIS COURT IS HEREBY AUTHORIZED AND REQUIRED UPON THE SAID APPLICATION OF THE SAID HARRIETT TO ISSUE EXECUTIONS THEREFOR AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED THAT THIS DECREE SHALL CONSTITUTE A LEIN UPON THE REAL ESTATE OF THE SAID JOSEPH WITHIN THIS COUNTY AND THAT THIS DECREE SHALL NOT AFFECT THE SAID HARRIETTS RIGHTS OF DOWER IN CASE SHE SHALL SURVIVE THE SAID JOSEPH.

//JOSEPH WILSON VS PETER TERLEY

PG. 143.

//JOSEPH WILSON

VS PETER TERLEY

IN THIS CASE THE COURT HAVING HEARD THE ARGUMENTS OF THE COUNCIL ON THE MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL ARE OF OPINION THAT THE SAID MOTION BE GRANTED ON THE DEFENDENT PAYING

THE COSTS OF THIS TERM WITHIN SIX MONTHS AND THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

PG I44

| // HENRY CLAPPER | |
|------------------|---------------------|
| · VS | SUMMONS TRESPASS |
| ABRAHAM NYE & | ASULT AND BATTERY & |
| JOHN PIPER | FALSE IMPRISIONMENT |
| | DAMAGES \$500.00 |

THIS DAY CAME THE PLAINTIFF BY HIS ATTORNIES AND DECLARES HE WILL NOT FURTHER PROSECUTE THIS SUIT AGAINST THE SAID ABRAHAM NYE, BUT AS TO THE SAID NYE ENTERS A "NOLI PROSIGUE" AND DISCONTINUES THIS SUIT. IT IS THEREFOR CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT PLAINTIFFS RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT JOHN PIPER THE SUM OF ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY SEVEN DOLLARS THE SUM BY THE JURRORS AFORESAID, FOUND AGAINST THE SAID PIPER TOGETHER HIS COSTS IN THIS BEHALF BY HIM EXPENDED TAXED AT \$______ AND THE SAID DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

11

PG. I45.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT ALL JUDGEMENTS AND DECREES IN CASES APPEARED OR COME UP INTO THIS COURT HERE BY WRIT OF ERROR FROM THE COURT OF COMMON POEAS BE CERTIFIED TO COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AT THE NEXT TERM WITH A SPECIAL MANDATE TO CARRY THE SAME INTO EFFECT BY EXECUTION.

MICHEAL TIERMAN JR. VS JAMES HEDGES & SUSANNAH NEWMAN & OTHERS

IN CHANCERY

SUSANNAH NEWMAN & OTHERS THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR COUNCIL AND THE BILL, ANS___, EXHIBITS AND (WIDENN)

BEING READ AND UNDERSTOOD, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED THAT THE SAID TWO QUARTER SECTIONS OF LAND NOW IN THE POSSESSION OF THE SAID JACOB(BEAM, BAUM) BE SOLD BY THE SHERIFF OF SAID COUNTY AT PUBLICVANDEN ACCORDING TO THE LAW REGULATING JUDGEMENTS AND EXECUTIONS AND TO RETURN HIS PROCEEDINGS THEREON WITH THE MONEY ENRISING THERE FROM TO THIS COURT AT THE IN NEXT TERM. AND THE COURT BEING OF OPINION THAT THE REMAINING QUESTIONS ARISING IN THIS CASE ARE DIFFICULT DO RESERVE AND ADJOURN THE SAME FOR DECIFER.

PG. 146. AT THE SPECIAL SESSION OF THE JUDGES TO BE HOLDED AT COLUMBUS ACCORDING TO LAW //THEREUPON IT IS ORDERED THAT THIS (ADJOUN) WITH OUT DAY

CALVIN PEASE, CHIEF JUDGE.

// SUPREME COURT SPECIAL SESSION DECEMBER TERM 1826

PG. 146.

M TIERMAN, JUS COMP"L VS

CHANCERY JAMES HEDGES AT EL DEFT. THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THIS CAUSE IS BEING FULLY HEARD UPON THE

BILL ANSWERS CROSS BILL. REPLICATIONS AND EXHIBITS AND THE COURT NOW BEING FULLY ADVISED DO AWARD ORDER JUDGE AND DECREE THAT THE SUM OF \$1495.92 BEING THE BALANCE OF PURCHASE MONEY DUE ON THE LAND. BE PAID OUT OF THE PROCEEDS OF THE SALE HERETOFORE ORDERED IN THIS CASE TO THE DEFENDENT MRS. NEWMAN, AND THAT THE REMAINDER THEREOF BE PAID OVER TO THE COMPLAINTANT AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT ON THE PAYMENT OF THE SAID SUM OF \$1495,92 AFORESAID THAT THE HEIRS OF SAID NEWMAN EXECUTE AND DELIVER TO THE PURCHASER OR PURCHASERS UNDER THE SHERIFF A DEED OR DEEDS IN FEE SIMPLE FOR THE PREMISES SO SOLD AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE COMPLAINANT PAY THE COSTS THAT HAVE ACCRUED IN THIS SUIT.

STATE OF OHIO FRANKLIN COUNTY SS

PG. 147.

I ABRAM J.M"DOWAD (DOWELL). CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT OF SAID COUNTY DO CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE IS A TRULT COPIED FROM THE RECORDS OF SAID COURT NOW REMAINING IN MY OFFICE

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND AFFIXED SEAL MY SEAL OF OFFICE AT COLUMBUS THIS IITH DAY OF JAN'Y A.D. 1827 A.J. MC)DOWDE)

 Π PG. I48 AT A SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF OHIO BEGUN AND HELD AT MANSFIELD IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND AND STATE AFORESAID ON THE IOTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD ONE THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED AND TWENTY SEVEN PRESENT THE HONERABLE CALVIN PEASE & CHARLES R. SHERMAN JUDGES OF SAID COURT AND TOOK THEIR SEATS.

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND ON MOTION OF DEFENDENT THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM AND IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE DEFENDENT PAY THE COSTS OF THIS TERM WITHIN SIX MONTHS FROM THE RISING OF THIS COURT.

// REBECCA GAMBLE

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

HENRY GAMBLE

VS

THIS DAY CAME THE SAID PETITIONER BY HER ATTORNEY AND D DISMISSES HER SAID PRTITION.

// JOHN DOE ON THE DEMISE OF PETER KINNEY & DANIEL HARBAUGH

VS EJECTMENT PG. 149 WILLIAM DARLING THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND ON MOTION OF THE PLAINTIFFS ATTORNEY THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL THE NEXT TERM. IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF PAY THE COSTS OF THIS TERM WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF THIS TERM.

// PETER PEACEABILE ON THE DEMISE OF THOMAS EDGINGTON

VS

EJECTMENT

DANIEL DUKES THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

PG. I49.

// REBECCA LINDSEY VS JOHN LINDSEY

PETITIONJUCH CERY FOR ALIMONY

THIS DAY CAME THE SAID PETIONER BY ANDREW COFFINEERRY HERSOLICITOR AND DISMISSED HER SAID PETITION.

//ON MOTION IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT AN ATTACHMENT ISSUE AGAINST ANDREW NEWMAN, JOHN CASEBEER, AND JACOB WOLFE WHO WERE REGULARY SUMMONED TO ATTEND AS WITNESSES AT THIS COURT FOR CONTEMPT.

PG. 150.

| // | PETER | TERLEY | , | | | | |
|----|--------|--------|---------|----------|----|-----|------|
| | VS | 5 | SUMMONS | TRESPASS | ON | THE | CASE |
| | JOSEPH | WILSON | DAMAGES | \$800.00 | | | |

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THIS CAUSE IS AT ISSUE AND THEREUPON CAME & JURY(JURRY) TO WIT: BENJIMAN WHITE, MELZER COULTER, EPHRIAM PALMER, ROBERT STEWART, ABRAHAM HENDRICKS, MOSES ANDREWS, CYRUS LANG(LONG)WORTHY, PLUMB SUTLIFF, HENRY L. BELL, ABRAHAM NYE, LENEOD(LIMEORD) BOWMAN, TWELVE GOOD AND LAWFUL MEN OF SAID COUNTY WHO BEING DULY ELECTED TRIED ANDD SWORN WELL AND TRULT TO TRY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE CASE AND THEREUPON THE PLAINTIFF SUFFORED A NON SUIT AND PAID THE JURY.

// JOSEPH WILSON VS PETER TERLEY

SUMMONS TRESPASS

THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL THE NEAT TERM.

PG. 151.

// JOSEPH WILLIAMS VS JACOB PAINTER

SUMMONS CASE DAMAGES \$500.00

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTEES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND ON MOTION THIS CASE IS CONTINUED UNTIL THE NEXT TERM. IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE DEFENDENT PAY THE COSTS OF THIS TERM WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF THIS TERM.

//JOHN FETTERS VS CHARLOTTE FETTERS

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

THIS DAY CAME THE ###### PETITIONER BY JOHN M. MAY HIS COUNCIL, AND IT IS THEREUPON ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PETITION IN THIS CASE BE DISMISSED AND THEREUPON IT IS ORDERED JUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID JOHN FETTERS PAY THE COSTS OF THIS SUIT IN SIX MONTHS FROM THIS TERM.

// ALEXANDER MC GAFFICKE

VS IN CHANCERY INJUNCTION #### BILL SAMUEL BALDRIDGE

BY CONSENT OF THE COMPLAINTANT THE INJUNCTION ID DISOLVED IT IS THEREFOR ON MOTION ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT THE RESPONDENT RECOVER AGAINST THE COMPLAINTANT THE SUM OF ONE HUNDRED AND SEVENTY PG. 152. DOLLARS AND FIFTY ONE CENTS BEING THE AMOUNT OF THE JUDGEMENT RECOVERED AT LAW. WITH THE INTENT AND COSTS ACCRUED AND TAXED THEREON TOGETHER WITH FIFTEEN DOLLARS AND NINE CENTS PENALTY BESIDE THE COSTS AND CHARGES OF THE SAID RESPONDENT IN AND ABOUT HIS DEFENCE IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED.

// WHEREUPON THE COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL TO MORROW MORNING 8 O CLOCK CALVIN PEASE, CHIEF JUDGE. // TEUSDAY SEPTEMBER 11TH 1827 COURT MET PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT THE SAME JUDGES AS YESTERDAY.

// SAMUEL PHIPPS

VS MARY PHIPPS MARGARET PHIPPS(MAYANT) IN CHANCERY SARAH PHIPPS

SAMUEL PHIPPSJ.THID DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR RESPECTIVEISABELLA PHIPPSSOLICITORS AND THE CAUSE CAME ON FOR A FINALSARAH PHIPPS J.HEARING ON THE BILL, ANSWERS, REPLICATIONS, EXHIBITS, AND PROO FS TAKEN IN THE CASE, AND THE

SAME BEING FULLY HEARD AND CONDIDERED. IT IS ORDERED JUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID COMPLAINTANT HAS NO EQUITY IN THE LAND STATED IN SAID BILL, AND THAT THE SAID BILL OF COMPLAINTANT EXHIBITED BY THE COMPLAINTANT BE DISMISSED IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT THE SAID COMPLAINTANT PAY TO THE SAID DEFENDENTS THE COSTS OF THIS SUIT AND THAT EXECUTION ISSUE THEREFOR AS AT LAW. PG. 154.

// MICHEAL TIERMAN J. VS

JAMES HEDGES

IN CHANCERY

SUSANNAH NEWMAN & OTHERS AND NOW COMES SAMUEL G. WOLFE, SHERIFF OF SAID RICHLAND COUNTY AND MAKES RETURN OF THE SALE OF THE QUARTER SECTIONS PURSUANT TO THE ORDER MADE AT THE LAST TERM

OF THIS COURT WHICH IS AS FOLLOWS TO WIT: I SAMUEL G. WOLFE SHERIFF OF RICHLAND COUNTY. IN (ABIDIANN) TO THE COMMAND OF THIS WRIT, DO RETURN THAT ON THE 11TH DAY OS SEPTEMBER A.D. 1826, I CAUSED THE WITHIN DESCRIBED LAND AND REAL ESTATE TO BE DULY AND LEGALLY APPRAISED JY BENJIMAN JOHNS, FRANCIS CUNNINGHAM, JOHN PUGH, HARVY WESTFALL, AND MORDICAH BARTLEY, FIVE DISINTERESTED AND RESPECTRELE FREEHOLDERS RESIDENT IN SAID RICHLAND COUNTY THEY BEING BY ME FIRST DULY SWORN ACCORDING TO LAW IMPARTIALLY TO APPRAISE THE SAME , WHO ON THE SAID DAY RETURNED TO ME AND UNDER THEIR HAND AND SEALS UNOP ACTUAL VIEW OF THE PREMISSES. THE REAL VALUE IN MONEY OF THE WITHIN DESCRIBED NORTH EAST QUARTER OF SECTION NO. 36 IN TOWNSHIP TWENTY ONE AND RANGE EIGHTEEN TO BE THE #### SUM OF TWENTY ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND OF THE WITHIN DESCRIBED SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION NO. 25 IN THE TOWNSHIP AND RANGE AFORESAID TO THE SUM OF FIFTEEN HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND I FORWITH DEPOSITED A COPY THEREOF WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT FROM WHICH THIS WRIT ISSUE AND ON THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER IN THE YEAR AFORESAID I CAUSED THE SAME LAND ABOVE DESCRIBED TO BE DULY AND LEGALLY ADVERTISED FOR SALE ACCORDING TO LAW. BY ADVERTISING THE SAME IN THE MANSFIELD GAZETTE, A PUBLIC NEWSPAPER, PRINTED IN THE TOWN OF MANSFIELD IN SAID RICHLAND COUNTY AND ON THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER IN THE YEAR AFORESAID AT THE COURT HOUSE IN THE TOWN OF MANSFIELD. IN SAID COUNTY OF RICHLAND BEING THE TIME OF _____ MENTIONED IN SAID ADVERTISEMENT I PUBLICLY OFFERED THE SAME LAND & REAL ESTATE IN THIS WRIT MENTIONED AND PARTICULARY DESCRIBED FOR SALE BY PUBLIC PROCLAMATION AND OUT CRY, AT THE DOOR OF THE COURT HOUSE AFORESAID, AND THEN AND THERE SOLD THE AFORESAID NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SEC NO36 FOR FOURTEEN HUNDRED D DOLLARS AND THE AFORESAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 25, FOR ONE THOUSAND AND THIRTY FOUR DOLLARS TO MICHEAL TIERMAN IN HE BEING THE HIGHEST BIDDER THEREFOF AND THAT BEING MORE THAN TWO THIRDS OF THE APPRAISED VALUE OF SAID LAND FIFTEEN HUNDRED AND SIXTY EIGHT DOLLARS & FORTY FOUR CENTS I HAVE RECEIVED NO MORE, WHICH MONEY I HAVE IN COURT READY TO PAY OVER. SEPTEMBER IOTH 1827

> SAMUEL G. WOLFE. SHERIFF RICHLAND COUNTY

AND THE COURT HAVING EXAMINED THE PROCEEDINGS OF SAID OFFICER AND SATISFIED THAT THE SALE HAS BEEN MADE IN ALL RESPECTS AGREEABLE TO LAW DO ORDER THAT THE SAID RETURN PROCEEDINGS BE ENTERED OF RECORD BY THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. THE COURT ARE FURTHERI, SARASFIED BY SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE THAT THE SUM OF FOURTEEN HUNDRED AND NINETY AND DECREE TO THE DEFENDENT SUSANNAH NEWMAN HAS BEEN PAID TO SAID DEFENDENT AND IT IS APPEARING TO THE COURT THAT COMPLAINANT MICHEAL TIERMAN IS THE PURCHASER OF THE TRAILS OF LAND DECREED, THIS CASE TO BE SOLD. FURTHER ORDER THAT HE BE PERMITTED TO RETAIN THE BALANCE OF THIS PURCHASE MONEY AFTER THE PAYMENT OF THE COSTS IN THIS CASE.

||

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT ALL CASES APPEARED OR COME UP INTO THIS COURT HERE BY WRIT OF ERROR FROM THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS BE CERTIFIED TO SAID COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AT THEIR NEXT TERM WITH A SPECIAL MANDATE TO CARRY INTO EXECUTION AND EFFECT BY EXECUTION.

//PETER TERLEY VS JOSEPH WILSON

IN CASE

IN THIS CASE THE PLAINTIFF MOVED THE COURT TO SET ASIDE THE NON SUIT ENTERED IN THIS CASE ON THE GROUND THAT THE COURT REJECTED EVIDENCE OF THE CONFESSION OF THE DEFENDENT TO ______ THE EXECUTION OF THE AGREE-MENT ON WHICH THE SUIT IS FOUNDED ORDERED BT THE COURT THAT SAID MOTION BE RESERVED FOR DECISSION AT THE SPECIAL SES ION NEXT TO BE HOLDED AT COLUMBUS.

// ORDERED THAT COURT ADJOURN WITHOUT DAY

CALVIN PEASE, CHIEF JUDGE.

// SUPREME COURT SPECIAL SESSION DECEMBER TERM ANNO DOMINI 1827 PETER TERLEY VS MOTION TO DE CET 12125

JOSEPH WILSON MOTION TO BE SET ASIDE JUDGEMENT OF NON SUIT FROM RICHLAND

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THE COURT HAVING HEARD THE AGRUMENTS OF COUNCIL AND FULLY CONSIDERED THE SAME ARE OF OPINION THAT THE MOTION TO SET ASIDE THE JUDGEMENT OF NON SUIT BE OVERULED. IT IS THEREFOR CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID JOSEPH CAIRNS RECOVER OF THE SAID PETER HIS COSTS IN THIS BEHALF TO BE TAXED ATS_______ AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT CERTIFIED COPY OF THIS ENTRY BE SENT TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT IN THE SAID COUNTY OF RICHLAND WHO IS HEREBY DIRECTED TO SEND A CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT TO THE CLERK OF TH COURT OF COMMON PLEAS IN SAID COUNTY WITH A SPECIAL MANDATE TO CARRY THE SAME INTO EXECUTION.

THE STATE OF OHIO FRANKLIN COUNTYSS

I ABRAHAM J. DOWDS CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT FOR SAID COUNTY DO CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE IS TRULY COPIED FROM THE RECORDS IN MY OFFICE

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT AT COLUMBUS THIS I5TH DAY OF JULY A.D. 1828.

A.J. DOWDS, CLK.