

RECORDS OF THE SUPREME COURT

FOR THE COUNTY

OF
RICHLAND

ELZEY HEDGES

CLERK OF THE COURT FOR RICHLAND COUNTY

SEPTEMBER TERM, 1819

MOST OF THE TEXT OF THE SUPREME COURT OF RICHLAND COUNTY FOR 1819 TO
1828 WAS WRITTEN IN A SMALL BEATHER BOUND BOOK OF LIGHT TAN RICE PAPER
AND WRITTEN IN BROWINK , THE WRITING ALL IN BEAUTIFUL SCROLLED HAND
BUT VERY HARD TO READ MANY MISPELLED WORDS, WRITTEN AS IS.

EXAMPLES:

TRESPASS *Trespass*

ISSUE *Issue*

ASSESSED *assessed*

ABBREVIATIONS

Samuel - Sam^L ; Nathaniel Nath^L

H - H^p

assigned -> of - p^r

and - (enc)

(INSIDE FRONT COVER)

N B WHERE WITNEFSIS ARE SUMONED AND NOT SWORN ON TRIAL THE PARTY SUMONING THEM MUST
PAY THEM SO SAYS THE SUPREME COURT THIS SEPTEMBER 6TH 1819.

(OTHER NAMES INSIDE FRONT COVER)

JOHN M. DOWNS
ENGLAND EN~~AL~~TURES (?)
J E COE \$12.00
SAMUEL CRISPIN

STATE OF OHIO
VS
JAMES M. BARR

J. M. BARR
JACOB GEORGE
DANIEL MYERS
JUDGE FLECK
JOHN CARTER
J. P. MYERS

(NAMES FOUND INSIDE BACK COVER)

JAMES HEDGES
JACOB FLACK
JAMES A GILKISON
JAMES M BARR

MANSFIELD RICHLAND COUNTY OHIO
AND STATE OF OHIO
JAMES M BARR, MAY, MANSFIELD OHIO
IN THIS CASE THE JURY CANNOT AGREE
WITH ANY DEGREE OF PROPERTY
I MUST GO TO W. L. COFFINBERRY

AS A SUPREME COURT BEGAN AND HELD IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND AND STATE OF OHIO IN THE TOWN OF MANSFIELD, IN SAID COUNTY, AN ON THE FOURTH DAY OF AUGUST IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD, ONE THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED AND FOURTEEN, BEING THE FIRST SUPREME COURT HELD IN AND FOR SAID COUNTY, IT BEING THE DAY APPOINTED BY THE LAWS OF SAID STATE, FOR THE SETTING OF THE COURT, PRESENT THE HONERABLE WILLIAM W. IRWIN AND ETHAN ALLEN BROWN, JUDGES.

THE COURT PROCEEDED TO THE APPOINTMENTS OF CLERK FOR SAID COUNTY, WHERE IT WAS ORDERED THAT WINN WINSHIP BE APPOINTED CLERK OF SAID COURT, WHO GAVE BOND AND SECURETIES ACCORDING TO ~~###~~ LAW AND TOOK THE OATH TO SUPPORT THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES AND OF THIS STATE, TO DISCHARGE THE DUTIES APPERTENING THE SAID OFFICE ACCORDING NOTES AND TO THE BEST OF HIS JUDGEMENT AND under STANDING.

**

THOMAS CROW AND BETSY, HIS WIFE ADMINISTRIX, OF JOHN LONG
VS
JOHN RICE

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE CASE BE CONTINUED UNTIL THE NEXT TERM WHEREUPON THE COURT ADJOURNS WITHOUT ___ DAY.

WM. W. IRVIN
PRESIDING JUDGE

**

PG #2

AT A SUPREME COURT HELD IN MANSFIELD IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND AND THE STATE OF OHIO ON THE 21ST DAY OF AUGUST A. D. 1815. PRESENT THE HONERABLE ETHAN ALLEN BROWN, CHIEF JUDGE, AND JESUP N. COUCH, WHO PRODUCED HIS COMMINSSION, WITH THE NECESSARY QUALIFICATIONS AS ONE OF THE JUDGES OF THIS COURT AND TOOK HIS SEAL. ~~4~~ IN THE ROOM OF THOMAS SCOLE ESQR, RESIGNED

**

THOMAS CROW, AND ELIZABETH, HIS WIFE, ADMINISTRIX OF
JOHN LONG, DECEASED
VS
JOHN RICE

THE FOLLOWING PERSONS WERE DULY ELECTED BY ME AND SWORN TO BY TH~~###~~ E
ISSUE _____ US THE ABOVE SEAL _____ (TO-WIT). HENRY WAUGH, DANIEL CARTER, JOHN
VANDOVER(?), JAMES WALLACE, MELZER TANNIHILL, STEPHEN VANDERBACK(?), ROBERT NEWELL,
LAIRD CA~~_____~~THEY(?), JACOB LACKS, WILLIAM STECKER(?), ROBERT KROSKY(?),
AND ROLIN WHELDON. WHICH LAST FIVE WERE _____ WHO UPON THERE SOLEUM OATHS DO SAY
THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY IN _____ AS THE PLAINTIFFS IN HIS DECLARATION
HATH ALLEDGED _____ THE PLAINTIFFS DAMAGES AT TWO HUNDRED AND EIGHTY FIVE DOLLARS.
// MOTION ON ARREST OF JUDGEMENT R~~_____~~SORY FILED// AND THE COURT TOOK TIME TO ADVISE//
// WHERE UPON THE COURT ADJORNED UNTIL TO MORROW MORNING, II O CLOCK _____

ETHAN ALLEN BROWN
CHIEF JUDGE

**

TUESDAY AUGUST 22ND 181~~5~~⁵, THE COURT MET PERSUANT TO _____ PG. # 3
AS YESTERDAY. _____ PRESIDED

THOMAS CROW AND ELIZABETH HIS WIFE, ADMINISTRIX OF
JOHN (NOW LOOKS LIKE LONGE) LONG
VS

JOHN RICE THIS CASE UNDER ADVISEMENT AND BY CONSENT OF THE PARTIES BY THEIR COUNCIL
THE DESCESION OF THE COURT IS TO BE CERTIFIED FRO~~_____~~ SAME COUNTY, WITHIN THE PRESENT
CIRCUIT OF THE SUPREME COURT TO BE ENTERED HERE AS OF THIS REVIEW, WHEREUPON THE COURT
ADJOURNES WITHOUT ___ DAY

ETHAN ALLEN BROWN
CHIEF JUDGE

**

THOMAS CROW, DEF
ELIZABETH, HIS WIFE, D
VS
JOHN PUGH

AT A SUPREME COURT HELD IN THE TOWN OF CHILLICOTHE, FOR THE COUNTY OF ROSS, BE
THE HONERABLE ETHAN ALLEN BROWN, CHIEF JUDGE, AND JESUP N. COUCH ESQUIRE JUDGE OF
SAID COURT, ON THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRCE DAY OF NOVEMBER 1815, AND IN THIS IOth
YEAR OF THIS STATE.

THOMAS CROW P
ELIZABETH, HIS WIFE, ADMIX... PLAINTIFFS
VS
JOHN PUGH.....D.

THIS CAUSE BROUGHT FROM THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND UNDER ADVERSE _____ ON A MOTION
IN ARREST OF JUDGEMENT TO BE DECIDED AT THIS COURT. THEREFOR IT IS _____ BY THE COURT
THAT THE MOTION IN ARREST OF TRIAL _____ SHOULD THE PLAINTIFF RECOURSE###
AGAINST THE DEFENDENT.

THE DAMAGES BY THIS _____ IN THIS CASE _____ AND THEN COSTS AND CHARGES BY THEM
ABOUT THE SUIT IN HIS BEHALFS EXPENDED _____ THAT THE CLERK OF THIS COURT CERTIFY THE
ABOVE UNDER TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT OF RICHLAND COUNTY. THAT THIS JUDGEMENT
BE ENTERED AS OF THE LAST TERM OF THIS COURT IN SAID COUNTY AND THAT A SPECIAL MANDATE
ISSUED TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS TO CARRY THE SAME INTO EXECUTION.

THE STATE OF OHIO TO WIT:
ROSS COUNTY

PG. # 5

I HUMPHREY FULLERTON CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT WITH AND
FOR THE COUNTY ## DO CERTIFY THE WITHIN IS A TRUE COPY FROM THE ORDER OF COURT AND
THE DESCISION OF THE COURT IN SAID CAUSE.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I HAVE HERE UNTO SET MY HAND AND
AFFIXED THE SEAL OF SAID COURT AT CHILLICOTHE THE 6TH DAY OF DECEMBER 1815 AND IN THE
14TH YEAR OF THE STATE

HUMPHREY FULLERTON
CLERK

**

PG. # 6

AT A COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, SUPREME COURT BEGAN WITH IN THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND
STATE OF OHIO ON THE 29TH DAY OF AUGUST 1810. PRESENT THE HONERABLE ETHAN A. BROWN
CHIEF JUDGE AND JESUP N. COUCH ESQR. PRODUCED A COMMISSION WITH THE NECESSARY QUAL-
IFICATIONS THEREON ENDORSED APPOINTING HIM A JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE TERM
OF SEVEN YEAR COMMENCING ON THE 17TH FEBRUARY 1810, WHO TOOK HIS SEAL.

**

SUSAN LEE BY THE FATHER OF ~~THE~~ NATURE
GUARDIAN, SOLOMON LEE

VS AN ACTION OF SLANDER
GEORGE COFFINBERRY
ELIZABETH COFFINBERRY

THE FOLLOWING PERSONS WERE DULY ELECTED TRYED AND SWORN TO TRY
THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE ~~SUIT~~ SUIT TO WIT: JACOB BEAM, THOS SIMMIONS, MICHEAL
NEWMAN, QABSOLEM NEWELL, ISAAC MARTIN, DAN'L MECKEY, AMARIA WATSON, ANDREW RICHEY,
MORDAICA BARTLEY, ISAAC OSBUN, JOSIAH L HILL, SAM'L CUROTHERS, WHICH LAST SIX WERE
(GALLIOMEN?), WHO HEARD THE PROOF ALLEGATIONS AND COUNCIL OF BOTH PARTIES AND
RETIRED TO CONSULT OF THEIR VERDICT.

THE COURT ADJOURNED UNTILL TO MORROW MORNING EIGHT O CLOCK.

ETHAN ALLEN BROWN
CHIEF JUDGE

PG # 7

FRIDAY MORNING AUG. 30TH 1810
THE COURTWITH PURSUANT TO JUDGEMENT PRESECEDES AS YESTERDAY.

**

DANIAL LAVERING

VS

ACTION OF _____

JOHN WEYRICK

ON THE MOTION BY DEFENDENTS COUNCIL TO DISMISS THE WRIT OF ERRORS AND AFTER BEING CONSIDERED BY THE COURT, THE MOTION WAS CANCELED.

**

SUSAN LEE BY HER FATHER

VS

GEORGE COFFINBERRY

ELIZABETH COFFINBERRY

THE JURY RETURNED INTO THE COURT, WHO TOOK OATHS AND SAY THAT THE DEFENDENT ARE GUILTY IN MANNER AND FORM AS THE DEBT HATH ALLEDGED AGAINST THEM AND AFFIXE THE PLAINTIFFS DAMAGE AT TWENTY FIVE DOLLARS . THEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFFS RECEIVE OF THE DEFENDENT AND THIS AFORESAID SUM OF TWENTY FIVE DOLLARS AFFIXED BY THE JURY. THIS COSTS AND CHARGES BY HIM IN THE SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENEDED AND THE DEFENDENTS SUIT IN MERCEY

**

PG # 8

AMARIAH WATSON

VS

AN ACTION OF ASSIMECIL(?)

PETER KINNEY

DEFENDENT APPEARED IN OPEN COURT WITHDREW HIS PLEA AND CONFESSED JUDGEMENT FOR THE SUM OF THREE HUNDRED AND SEVENTY ONE DOLLARS, FIFTY CENTS, DAMAGES AND HIS COSTS AND BY HIM IN THAT BEHALF(ENP ENDED.

**

GEORGE CRAWFORD

VS

AN ACTION OF SLANDER

HENRY NAUGLE

THE FOLOWING PERSONS WERE DULY ELECTED TRYED AND SWORN TO BY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE SUIT TO WIT: JACOB BEAM, THOMAS SIMMERMAN, MICHEAL NEWMAN, JAMES M. GILKINSON, ABSOLOM NEWELL, CHARLES YOUNG, ISAAC MARTIN, DANIAL AYRES, DANIAL MACKEY, SOLOM CALVER, AND JOHN STEWART, AND BUCKINGHAM SHERWOOD, WHICH LAST THREE WERE TALLISMAN WHO HEARD THE PROOFS ALLEGATIONS AND COUNCIL OF BOTH PARTIES AND RETIRES TO CONSULT OF THIS VERDICT.

//

MR. JOHN SUNDAY

AND

ISAAC OSBUN

AS EITHER OF US ACKNOWLEDGE OURSELVES TOOW TO THE STATE OF OHIO THE JUST AND FULL SUM OF ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS, GOOD AND LAWFUL MONEY of the united states TABS PG #9 LEVIED ON OUR RESPECTIVE GOODS AND CHATTLE LANDS AND PERSONEL REAL ESTATE IF DEFAULT BE MADE IN THESE RECONISANCES THE CONDITIONS ARE THAT IF THE ABOVE NODERS, JOHN SUNDAY SHALL WELL TULY APPEAR AT OUR NEXT COURT OF COMMON PLEAS. IN AND FOR RICHLAND COUNTY ON THE FIRST DAY OF THEIR TERM. AND THEN THERE TO ANSWER TO A(CEDAIN) COMPLAINT LAID IN AG, HIM FOR AN ASSAULT AND BATTERY AND ABIDE THE DIS- ISION OF THE COURT AND NOT DEPART THEREFROM WITHOUT LEAVE THRU THAT CASE THE ABOVE RECONISANCES ARE VOID AND OF NOW EFFECT ELSE TABS AND REMAINS IN FULL FORCE VIRTUE IN LAW AND EQUITY.

//

THE COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING

EIGHT OCLOCK

ETHAN ALLEN BROWN

CHIEF JUDGE

SATURDAY MORNING AUGUST 30TH 1810

PRESENT AS YESTERDAY

**

GEORGE CRAWFORD

VS

HENRY NAUGLE

ACTION OF SLANDER

THE JURY RETURNES INTO COURT AND UPON THEIR OATHS DO SAY THAT THE DEFENDENT IS GUILTY IN MANNER AND FORM AS THE PLAINTIFF IN HIS DECLARATION HATH ALLEDGED AND ASSESS THE PLAINTIFF DAMAGES AT SIXTY DOLLARS THERE UPON IT IS CASE.

**

DANIEL LEVERING
VS
ACTION OF COVENANT (WRIT OF ERROR)
JOHN WEYRICK

RULE TO JOIN IN ERRORS BY THE FIRST OF FEBRUARY 1817

AND CONTINUED

// JOHN M. MAY APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND ACKNOWLEDGED HIMSELF TO OWE AND BE INDEBTED TO THE STATE OF OHIO IN THE P__ED SUM OF FIFTY DOLLARS TO BE LEVIED ON HIS RESPECTIVE GOODS AND CHATTLES, IF DEFAULT BE MADE IN THESE RECONISANCES. THE CONDITION ARE THESE THAT IF THE ABOVE GO UNDER JOHN M MAY SHALL APPEAR IN THE FIRST DAY OF THE NEXT COURT OF COMMON PLEAS IN THE SAID ~~#####~~ COUNTY AND PLY__ TO _____ IN A CULAIN SUIT AND ASSUALT AND BATTERY WHEREIN THE STATE OF OHIO IS PLAINTIFF AND JOHN SUNDAY DEFENDENT THEN THESE RECONISANCES TO BE SAID AND OF MORE AFFECT, ELSE TO BE AND REMAIN FULL FORCE AND VIRTUE AND EQUITY.

PG. # II

//
GEORGE CRAWFORD
VS
AN ACTION OF SLANDER
HENRY NAUGLE

ON MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL BY DEFENDENT COUNCIL AND AFTER BEING CONSIDRED BY THE COURT THE MOTION WAS OVERRULED.

THERE UPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THA T THE PLAINTIFF REVIEW OF THI DEFENDENT GEORGE. THAT AFORESAID SUM OF SIXTY DOLLARS BY THE JURY AND MANNER OF AFORESAID ASSESSED TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES BY HIM IN HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED THIS DEFIN__ BE IN MERCY.(?)

//
ARD_UED BY THE COURT

THAT ALL CAUSES S_CET UP TO THIS COURT BY APPEAL AS WRIT OF ERROR ON WHICH JUDGEMENT HAS BEEN PRODUCED AT THE TERM BE CERTIFIED TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WITH A SPECIAL MANDATE TO AWARD EXECUTION-- THEREON.

THE COURT ADJOURNED WITHOUT DAY
ETHAN ALLEN BROWN
CHIEF JUDGE

// NAMES ON LOOSE PAPER IN BOOK.
JOS ARNOLD
FRANCIS ANDRES
PETE SNIDER
JOSEPH H REA
JACOB IRWIN
MEISSER METCALF
JAMES KERR#
DAVE(?) BURNS
(ON BACK OF PAPER)
JAIV BURNS
JOSEPH CLAI(?)
W NEPTURN
JOHN BAKER
DAVID PARMAN
JAMES DOUGLASS(DOUGLAFS)
JNO PUGH
GEO E. MITCHELL

THE CONTRACT MENTIONS IN _AN PETETIONS, AND THAT THE SAID CONTRACT WAS SIGNED SEALED BY THE SAID, GEORGE MARK(MACK) ## DECEASED IN HIS LIFETIME FOR THE CONVEYANCE OF THE PREMISES MENTIOND IN ___ PETITION, AND THAT SAID CONTRACT WAS(?)

**

AS A SUPREME COURT BEING HELD IN THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND AND STATE OF OHIO PG 12 ON THE FOURTH DAY OF SEPT. 1817. PRESENT THE HONERABLE ETHAN ALLEN BROWN AND JESUP N. COUCH JUDGE,. ETHAN ALLEN BROWN ESQR. WHICH LAST PRODUCED HIS COMMISSIONS _____ 17TH DAY OF FEBRUARY A. D. 1817. WITH THE NECESSARY QUALIFICATIONS ENDORSED THEREON APPOINTING HIM A JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE TERM OF SEVEN YEARS FROM THE 20TH DAY OF APRIL 1817 WHO TOOK HIS SEAL.

//
JACOB HUTCHINS
VS
SAMUEL BOLTER
BILL IN CHANCERY

(CON'T, NEXT PAGE)

JACOB HUTCHINS
VS
SAMUEL BOLTER

A BILL IN CHANCERY

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DEFF. COUNCIL TH HAVE JACOB HUTCHINS CALLED INTO COURT AND ANSWER INTEROGATIONS FILED BY DEFF'S AS DISS _____ THE BILL AND AFTER BEING CONSIDERED BY THE COURT MOTION WAS OVERULLEFD.

//
SAME
VS
SAME

AN AFFIDAVID PRESENTED

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DIFFENDENTS COUNCIL TO RULE THE PLAINTIFFS TO COME INTO COURT AND ANSWER TO CERTAIN INTEROGATIONS FILED BY DEFFENDENTS. AND AFTER BEING CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THE MOTION WAS OVERRULEFD.

PG. 13

JAMES BURNSIDE
VS
WILLIAM ANDREWS

AN ACTION OF FRAUD

THE FOLLOWING PERSONS W RE DULY ELECTED TRYED AND SWORN TO BY THE ISSUE IN THE ABOVE SUIT TO WIT: JOSEPH WEYRICK(?), AMIRIAH WATSON, ISAAC VANMETER PLUMB SUTLISS, JOHN MILLER, WILLIAM N MANN, THOS LOFTLAND, JOSIAH L HILL, SAMUEL BOLTER, WILLIAM TINGLEY, WILLIAM WEBSTER, AND ALEXANDER MANN WHO HEARD THE PROOFS ALLEGATIONS AND COUNCIL OF BOTH PARTIES AND RETIRED.

//
JONATHAN GILKINSON
VS
THOS LOFTLAND

ACTION OF SLANDER
BY CONSENT

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM. WITH LEAVE TO ANSWER THE DECLARATION AND RULE TO PLEAD IN 6 MO. TO THE AMENDED DECLARATION.

//
DANIAL LEVERING (LAVERING)
VS
JOHN WEYRICK

WRIT OF ERROR

SETTLED BY THE PARTIES, EACH PARTY PAYING AN EQUAL PROPORTION OF CASH IN THIS COURT AND IN THE COURT BELOW.

//
SALLY SHREFFLER
VS
PETER SHREFFLER

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

THIS CAUSE IN CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

pg. 14

//
JAMES BURNSIDE
VS
WILLIAM ~~ANDREWS~~ ANDREWS

THE JURY RETURNED INTO COURT WHO UPON THEIR SOLEM OATHS DO SAY THE DEFENDENT IS GUILTY IN MANNER AND FORMAS THE PLAINTIFFS IN HIS DECLARATION HATH ALLEDGED AND ASSESSED THE PLAINTIFF DAMAGES AT ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS WHERE UPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECEIVE OF THE DEFENDENT THE AFORESAID SUM OF ONE HUNDRED DOLLARSAND OF HIS DAMAGES TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS SAID CHARGES BY HIM ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALFS EXPENDED, AND THE DEFENDENT AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE, ORDERED THAT THIS JUDGEMENT BE(CULEF RD) TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WITH SPECIAL MANDATE FOR EXECUTION.

//
JAMES MILLER
VS
NANCY MILLER

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

IT APPEARING TO THE COURT THAT THE ALLEGATIONS OF ADULTRY SET FORTH IN THE PETITION FROM THE TESTIMONY PRODUCED ARE TRUE, DO THEREFOR ORDER

JUDGERELEASE THAT MARRIAGE CONTRACT EXISTING BETWEEN THE PARTIES BE DISPOSED OF AND EACH OF THEM# FOREVER FIRED FROM THE OBLIGATIONS OF THE SAME AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED IN THAT THE PETITIONER PAY THE COSTS. RE

//

THE COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL TO MORROW MORNING EIGHT OCLOCK

JESUP N. COUCH, PRESIDING JUDGE

//

PG 15.

FRIDAY SEPT. 5TH 1817. THE COUNCIL MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT. PRESENT AS YESTERDAY.

//WILLIAM STATER

VS

GEORGE COFFINBERRY

BILL

THE COURT HAVING HEARD THE ~~ANSWER~~ ANSWER AND RESSTICATIONS IN THIS CAUSE AND EXAMINED THE EXHIBITS AND DEPOSITIONS, PRODUCED IN THE SUIT AND ALSO HEARD THE ARGUMENTS OF COUNCIL AFTER DUE DELIBERATIONS ON THE SAME DO ORDER AND ADJUDGE AND DECREE THAT THE JUDGEMENT AND PROCEEDINGS OF THE JUSTICE OF THE PEACE IN THE BILL MENTIONED SO FAR AS THE AMOUNT OF THREE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND EIGHTY CENTS, PAID TO DEFENDENT, BE ITICALLY ENJOINED AND THE INJUNCTION ON THE BALANCE OF SAID JUDGEMENT OF THE JUSTICE BE DISPOSED AND THE PLAINTIFF IN THAT SUIT BE AT LIBERTY TO TAKE OUT EXECUTION ON THE SAME, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED ORDER THAT THE# PARTES PAY EQUAL PARTS OF THE COSTS OF THIS SUIT AND EXECUTION MAY ISSUE THERE FOR.

//

JACOB HUTCHINS

VS

SAMUEL BOLTER

THE COURT HAVING HEARD THE BILL ANSWER & RESSTICATION IN THIS CAUSE AND EXAMINED THE EXIBITS AND DEPOSITION PRODUCED IN THE SAME AND ALSO HEARD THE ARGUMENTS OF COUNCIL & AFTER DUE DELIBERATIONS ON THE SAME ARE OF OPINION THAT THE EQUITABLE TO THE LEASE HOLD OF THE LAND IN QUESTION IS IN THE PG 16 COMPLAICENT ANDDARES THAT THE DEFENDENT. WITHIN FIFTEEN DAYS FROM THIS DATE POSSESSION OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER SECTION NO. 14 IN TWP. NO 21 OF RANGE NO II OF THE (LEEDY) SURVEY BY CONGRESS FOR THE USE OF SCHOOLS IN THE VIRGINIA MILITARY TRACT. SITUATE IN THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND, STATE OF OHIO_ AND THAT THE DEFENDENT WITHIN THE FIFTEEN DAYS AFORESAID EXECUTE A RELEASE UNDER HIS HAND AND SEAL TO THE COMPLAINANT OF ALL HIS THE DEFENDENTS LEGAL ESTATE IN AND TO THE QUARTER SECTION AFORESAID WITH SPECIAL COVENANT OF MANDATE AGAINST ALL PERSONS CLAIMING THROUGH ~~OR~~ UNDER HIM AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT A WRIT OF POSSESSION MAY ISSUE AFTER THE EXPIRATION OF SAID FIFTEEN DAYS DIRECTING THE SHERRIFF TO PUT THE PLAINTIFF IN POSSESSION OF THE PREMISSES AN THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER HIS COSTS IN THE CASE & BE AT LIBERTY TO TAKE OUT A (FIRYIFACIAY?)

DEFS FOR COSTS

// ANDREW COFFINBERRY ESQR.

HAVING PRODUCED A CERTIFICATE OF HAVING A GOOD MORAL CHARACTER , THAT HE HAS DILLIGENTLY STUDIED LAW, ALSO FILED THE NESSARY AFFIDAVIT OF RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP TOOK THE OATH TO SUPPORT THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA & OF THE STATE MAY THEREUPON ADMITTED AN ATTORNEY & COUNSELLOR AT LAW IN THE DIFFERENT COURTS OF RECORD WITHIN THE STATE.

//

PG 17

THE COURT ADJOURNED WITHOUT DAY

JESUP N. COUCH

PRESIDING JUDGE

// SEPTEMBER 1818

AT A SUPREME COURT BEGAN AND HELD IN MANSFIELD ON THE 10TH SEPT. 1818 PRESENT THE HONERABLE ETHAN ALLEN BROWN & CALVIN PEASE ESQR. WHICH LAST, PRODUCED HIS

COMMISSIONS DATED THE 20TH DAY OF FEBRUARY A.D. 1816 WITH THE NECESSARY QUALIFICATIONS THEREON INDORSED APPOINTING HIM A JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE ~~THE~~ TERM OF SEVEN YEARS FROM THE 17TH DAY OF FEBRUARY A.D. 1816 WHO TOOK HIS SEAL

//

JOHN BEAM(BEVERS)
VS
WM. MC LAUGHLIN
ACTION OF TRESSPASS ON THE CASE
(TREFSPAFS)

THE DEFENDENT BEING THESE THING SOLOMLY CALLED TO COM~~ME~~ INTO COURT CAME NOW AND MADE DEFAULT WHEREUPON ~~HIS~~ CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECEIVE OF THE DEFENDENT YHE SUMOF EIGHTY FOUR DOLLARS AND EIGHTY CENTSHIS DAMAGES, WITH 7 PR CENT ON TH DAMAGES, SUM BEING \$5.93 CENTSTOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES BY HIM ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF & EXPENDEDED & THE DEF. IN MERCY BE

PG 18

//

SUSANNAH NEWMAN
VS
JACOB BEAM
ACTION OF BEBT

IN THIS CASE THE PLAINTIFF APPEARED, BY J PACKER HER ATTORNEY AND THE DEFENDENT, BY HIS ATTORNEY J. M. MAY CAME INTO COURT AND CONFESSED JUDGEMENT FOR THE SUM OF ELEVEN HUNDRED AND SEVENTY SIX DOLLARS(MUCH ILLEGABLE).....

//

PG. # 19

JONATHAN GILKINSON
VS
THOMAS LOFTLAND
ACTION OF SLANDER

WITNESSES
JAMES WELDON
JAMES MC. COLLOUGH
POLLY COFFINBERRY
WINN WINSHIP
SOLOMAN LEE
SAMUEL WILLIAMS
CALVIN CULVER
WM. TRUCKS
SAMUEL CULVER
JONATHAN EASTMAN
DANIAL AYERS
GEORGE COFFINBERRY
ROLEN WHELDON
ALEXANDER MANN
SAMUEL WATSON
RICHARD CONDON
CLEML R. POLLACK

IN THIS CASE THE PARTIES APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND THEREUPON CAME A JURY WHO WERE DULY ELECTED TRYED AND SWORN TO BY THIS ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE SUIT TO WIT: ELISHA WOODRUFF, WM. HOLSON JR., WM. DENLIN(?), MICHEAL CLINE, JULIES HAVERFIELD, FREDERICK DRAGOO, GEORGE AYERS, MORDACIED WILLIAMS, WM. MC.-LAUGHLIN, ANDREW BLAIR, EPHRIM ECKLEY, AND THOMAS PETTIT, WHICH LAST FOUR WERE TALLISMEN WHO HEARD THE PROOFS ALLEGATIONS & COUNCIL OF ~~THE~~ BOTH PARTIES AND RETIRED.

//THE PRESIDENT DIRECTORS AND COMPANY
OF THE GERMAN BANK OF WOOSTER, OHIO
VS
WILLIAM STATER
AARON KINNEY &
CHRISTIAN SMITH
ACTION OF TRESSPASS ON THE CASE

AND NOW THE PLAINTIFF APPEARED BY E. WHITTLESEY THEIR ATTORNEY, AND THE BEING THREE TIMES CALLED (SOME NOT LEDGIBLE)

HAVING SAID NOTHING IN IN BY OR AVOIDANCE OF THE PLAINTIFFS CLAIM AS SET FORTH IN THEIR DECLARATION IT IS THE JUDGEMENT AND CONSIDERATION OF THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFFS RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENTS WILLIAM STATER AND ARRON KINNEY WHO WER ONLY SERVED WITH PROCESS, THE SUM OF THREE THAUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED SEVENTY THREE DOLLARS AND FIFTY EIGHT CENTS BY REASON OF THE DAMAGES SUSTAINED BY THEM (REST ILLEDGEABLE) PG. 20

// THE PRESIDENTS DIRECTORS
AND CO. OF THE GERMAN BANK
OF WOOSTER, OHIO

VS ACTION OF TRESSPASS ON THE CASE
PETER KINNEY PLAINTIFFS, BY E. WHITTLESEY, ATT.

PG #21##

THE COURT THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TO MORROW MORN NG NINE OCLOCK
CALVIN PEASE
CHIEF JUDGE

//
FRIDAY SEPTEMBER IITH 1818 THE COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AS
YESTERDAY.

//
JONATHAN GILKINSON
VS ACTION OF SLANDER
THOMAS LOFTLAND

DEFENDENT GUILTY
THE DEFENDENT MOVED THE COURT FOR A NEW TRIAL.

//
JANE CUNNINGHAM, ADMINISTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF PG 22
HUGH CUNNINGHAM, DECEASED
VS ACTION IN DEBT.
PETER SNYDER

THE PARTIES APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND THEREUPON CAME A JURY , WHO WERE DULY ELECTED TRYED AND SWORN TO TRY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE SUIT TO WIT: ELISHA WOODRUFF, WILLIAM HOLSON, WILLIAM DICKIE, WILLIAM DARLING, MICHEAL CLINE, JAMES HAVERFIELD, FREDERICK DRAGOO, SEBENS FORBES, GEORGE AYERS, MORDICAWILLIAMS, EPHRIAM ECKLEY, SOLOMON CULVER, WHICH LAST TWO WERE TALLISMEN WHO HEARD THE PROOFS OF THE PARTIES, THE CAUSE WAS SUBMITTED AND THE JURY RETIRED

//
STATE OF OHIO
VS
WILLIAM COTSGREAVE

ON MOTION OF C. R. SHERMAN ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT A WRIT OF QUO MANDATE ISSUE IN THIS SUIT RETURNABLE FORFWITH.

// STATE OF OHIO
VS
WM. W. COTSGREAVE

IN THIS SUIT WM. W. COTSGREAVE CAME INTO COURT AND AGREED TO ENTER APPEARENCE WAVING PROCESS ON WRIT.

PG. 23

// JANE CUNNINGHAM
ADMINISTRIX
VS
PETER SNYDER

THE JURY IN THIS SUIT RETURNED INTO COURT AND UPON THEIR OATHS DO SAY THAT THE DEED MANTAINED IN THE PLAINTIFFS DECLARATION IS THE ACTUAL DEED OF THE DEFENDENT AND FOUND FOR THE PPAINTIFF TO RECOVER THE SUM OF SEVEN HUNDRED DOLLARS HED DEBT IN MANNER AND FORM AS STATED IN HER DECLARATION AND THE FURTHER SUM OF ONE

HUNDRED AND FIVE DOLLARS AND SIXTY FIVE CENTS HER DAMAGES SUSTAINED BY THE DETENTION OF HER SAID DEBT.

//
JAMES SMITH
VS
PETER KINNEL
ACTION OF TRESSPASS ON THE CASE

THE PARTIES APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND THERE UPON CAME A JURY TO WIT: ELISHA WOODRUFF, WILLIAM WATSON, WILLIAM DICKEY, MICHEAL CLINE, JAMES HAVERFIELD, FREDERICK DRAGOO, LEBINS FORBES, GEORGE AYERS, MORDACIAH WILLIAMS, JEDIDIAH SMITH, JOHN STEWART, AND EPHRIAM ECKEY, WHICH LAST THREE WERETALLISMEN WHO HEARD THE PROOFS ALLEGATIONS, AND COUNCIL OF BOTH PARTIES AND UPON THEIR OATHS DO SAY THAT THE DEFENDENT DID ASSUME AND PROMISE IN MANNER AND FORM AS THE PLAINTIFF IN HIS DECLARATION HAS ALLEDGED. AND ASSESS HIS THE PLAIN TIFFS DAMAGES AT TWO THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIVE DOLLARS WHEREUPON IT IS CONS IDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENTS HIS DAMAGES AFORESAID ASSESSED BY THE JURY AFORESAID WITH THE SUM OF ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY FOUR DOLLARS AND THIRTY FIVE CENTS SEVEN PERCE PENALTY THEREON TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS BY HIM ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED THE DEBT IN MERCY BE.

//
JAMES SMITH
VS
AARON KINNEY
PG 25.

IN THIS CASE CAME THE PLAINTIFF BY HIS ATTORNEY APPEARED IN OPEN COURT, AND THE DEFENDENT BEING THREE TIMES SOLEMMLY CALLED TO COME INTO COURT , CAME NOT BUT MADE DEFAULTWHEREFOR IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE SUM OF THREE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED AND SEVEN DOLLARS AND FIFTY CENTS DAMAGES AND THE FURTHER SUM OF TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY ONE DOLLARS AND FIFTY T TWO CENTS, SEVEN PERCENT PENALTY WHEREON, TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES IN AND ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

//
JAMES SMITH
VS
ABEL A. WEBSTER
PG 25.

IN THIS CASE THE PLAINTISS BY HIS ATTORNEY, APPEARED IN OPEN ~~###~~ COURT AND THE DEFENDENT BEING THREE TIMES SOLEMMLY CALLED TO COME INTO COURT, CAME NOTE BUT MADE DEFAULT WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE SUM OF THREE HUNDRED AND TWENTY EIGHT DOLLARS AND SIXTY FOUR CENTS DAMAGES AND THE FURTHER SUM OF TWENTY TWO DOLLARS AND NINETY CENTS, PENALTY THEREON, TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES BY HIM IN AND ABOUT HIS SUI SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED AND DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

//
JAMES SMITH
VS
SAMUEL WILLIAMS
PG. 26

IN THIS CASE THE PLAINTIFF BY HIS ATTORNEY APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND THE DEFENDENT BEING THREE TIMES SOLEMMLY CALLED CAME NOT BUT MADE DEFAULT WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOV OF THE DEFENDENT THE SUM OF FIVE HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS DAMAGES AND THE FURTHER SUM OF THIRTY EIGHT DOLLARS AND FIFTY CENTS, SEVEN PERCENT PENALTY THEREON, TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES IN AND ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

//
THE COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL TO MORROW MORNING , NINE O CLOCK
CALVIN PEASE
CHIEF JUDGE
PG 27.

// SATURDAY SEPTEMBER 12TH 1818, THE COURT MET PERS'ANT TO ADJOURNMENT PRESENT AS YESTERDAY.

//SALLY SHEFFLER
VS
PETER SHEFFLER
PETITION FOR A DIVORCE

AFTER THE TESTIMONY BEING SUBMITTED AND THE CAUSE CONSIDERED BY THE COURT IT IS ORDERED THAT THE PETITION FOR A DIVORCE BE DISMISSED.

ORDERED BY THE COURT:

THAT ALL CAUSES SENT UP TO THIS COURT BY APPEAL OR WRIT OF ERROR ON WHICH JUDGEMENTS HAS BEEN RENDERED AT THIS TERM BE CERTIFIED TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS BY SPECIAL MANDATE TO AWARD EXECUTION THEREON.

//

PG.28.

JOHN MITCHELL

VS

DEBT

AARON KINNEY

IN THIS CASE THE PLAINTIFF BY HIS ATTORNEY THIS DAY APPEARED AND THE DEFENDENT BEING THREE TIMES CALLED TO COME INTO COURT CAME NOT BUT MADE DEFAULT THEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDE THE SUM OF SIX HUNDRED AND FIFTY FOUR DOLLARS AND SIXTY NINE CENTS FOR HIS DEBT AND ONE DOLLA AND SIXTY FOUR CENTS FOR HIS DAMAGES, TOGETHER WITH FORTY FIVE DOLLARS AND NINETY FOUR CENTS SEVEN PERCENT PENALTY ON SAID DEBT AND DAMAGES AND ALSO HIS COSTS ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHAL EXPENDED, AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

// JOHN KINNEY

VS

ACTION OF CESSMENT

AARON KINNEY

IN THIS CASE THE PARTIES APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND THEREUPON CAME A JURY ELECTED, TRYED AND SWORN TO WIT:ELISHA WOODRUFF, WILLIAM WATSON, WILLIAM DICKEY, MICHEAL CLINE, JAMES HAVERFIELD, FREDERICK DRAGOO, LEBINS FORBES, GEORGE AYERS, MORDACIAH WILLIAMS, WILLIAM DARLING, EPHRIAM ECKEY, JOSEPH HAGERMAN, WHICH LAST FIVE WERE TALLISMEN WHO HEARD THE PROOFS PG 29. OF BOTH PARTIES, AND SAY THAT THE DEFENDENT DID ASSUME AND PROMISE IN MANNER AND FORM AS THE PLAINTIFF IN HIS DECLARATION HATH ALLEDGED AND DO ASSESS THE PLAINTIFF DAMAGES AT SISTEEN ~~##~~ HUNDRED AND FIFTY TWO DOLLARS AND FIFTY CENTS WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT HIS DAMAGES SO ASSESSED BY THE JURY AFORESAID AND THE SUM OF ONE HUNDRED AND FOURTEEN DOLLARS AND SIXTY SEVEN CENTS DAMAGES THEREON TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES IN AND ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDE IN MERCY BE.

//

JANE CUNNINGHAM ADMR.

VS

PETER SNYDER

DEFENDENT BY HIS COUNCIL MOVED THE COURT FOR A NEW TRIAL AND AFTER BEING CONSIDERED BY THE COURT A NEW TRIAL IS GRANTED.

//

PG. 30.

JONATHAN GILKINSON

VS

THOMAS LOFFLAND

THE MOTION IN THIS CASE FOR A NEW TRIAL AFTER BEING CONSIDERED BY THE COURT WAS OVERRULED AND THEREON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE SUM OF SIX CENTS HIS DAMAGES AFFIXED BY THE JURY AND HIS COSTS AND CHARGES BY HIM ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

//

JANE CUNNINGHAM

VS

PETER SNYDER

THE PLAINTIFF IN THIS CASE HAS LEAVE TO AMEND HER DECLARATION IN TH TWO MONTHS (UPON PAYMENT OF COSTS _____ FILING _____ AND DEFENDENT ORDERED TO PLEAD IN THREE MONTHS THEREAFTER RULE DAY UNTIL THE PLEADINGS ARE CLOSED AND CONTINUED.

// JOHN KINNEY

VS

AARON KINNEY

DEFENDENT MOVED THE COURT IN THIS CASE FOR A NEW TRIAL AND AFTER BEING CONSIDERED BY THE COURT WAS OVERULED.

JOHN MANN

VS

ROBERT ECKEY

BY CONSENT OF THE PARTIES THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED
UNTIL THE NEXT TERM.

// JAMES MC CLURE

VS

STEPHEN VANSOYAC

BY CONSENT OF THE PARTIES THIS CAUSE IS DISCONTINUED AT THE
DEFENDENTS COSTS AND THEREFOR IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE ##
PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE SAID DEFENDENT HIS COSTS AND CHARGES BY HIM ABOUT
HIS SUIT IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

// JOSEPH CAIRNS

VS

LEVI LYBARGER

IN CHANCERY

IN THIS CASE IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE _____ ACTION BE
DISSEDVED ANDTHE PLAINTIFF HAVE TO AMEND HIS BILL AND THE CAUSE CONTINUE

// JACOB BEAM

VS

MICHEAL (TERMAN?)

IN CHANCERY

PG 32.

BILL FILED AND ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT AN INJUNCTION ISSUE AGREEABLE
TO THE PRAYER OF THE SAID BILL UPON THE COMP LIEN SENT GIVING SECURITY ACCORDINT TO LAW.

// STATE OF OHIO

VS

WILLIAM W. COTGREAVE

ON A WRIT OF GICO MANANTO

THE COURT HAVING HEARD THE ALLEGATING OF THE PARTIES IT IS CONSIDER
ED BY THE COURT THAT THE REPLICATION OF THE STATE OF OHIO TO THE PLACE OF SAID WILLIAM ####
COTGREAVE BE OUSTED AND EJECTED FROM THE SAID OFFICE OF REGISTR FOR (LOCSING) VIRGINIA
MILLITARY DISTRICT SCHOOL LANDS AND THAT THE STATE OF OHIO RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE COSTS
AND CHARGES IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

ORDERED BY THE COURT

ORDERED THAT THE COURT ADJOURN WITHOUT DAY
CALVIN PEASE, CHIEF JUDGE

PG 33.

// AT A SUPREME COURT BEGAN AND HELD AT MANSFIELD ON THE 6TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
A.D. 1819. PRESENT THE HONERABLE JESUP N. COUCH, AND PETER HITCHCOCK ESQUIRES:
WHICH LAST PRODUCED HIS COMMISSION DATED THE FIFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY A.D. 1819 WITH THE
NECESSARY QUALIFICATIONS THEREON ENDORSED, APPOINTING HIM A JUDGE OF THE SUPREME
COURT FOR THE TERM OF SEVEN YEARS FROM THE FIFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY A.D. 1819, WHO
TOOK HIS SEAL.

// JACOB BEAM

VS

MICHEAL (TEVINEM ?)

MOTION BY THE DEFENDENTS COUNCIL TO DISOLVE THE INJUNCTION
AND AFTER ARGUMENTS OF COUNCIL ON BOTH SIDES HAVING BEEN HEARD
BY THE COURT IT WAS TAKEN UNDER CONSIDERATION.

// LEWIS LYBARGER

VS

JOSEPH CAIRNS

IN CHANCERY

AMENDED BILL FILED, AND DEFENDENT RULED TO ANSWER SAID AMENDED BILL
IN SIXTY DAYS AND THIS CAUSE CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM OF THIS COURT.

// JOHN MANN JR.

VS

ROBERT ECKEY

WITNESS FOR PLAINTIFF

JOHN PUGH

WM. D. MANN

WITNESS FOR DEFENDENT

FREDERICK DARLING

JOSEPH HAGERMAN

EPHRIAM ECKEY

JOSEPH CAIRNS

JACOB LINDLEY

THE PARTIES APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND THEREUPON CAME A JURY TO WIT: NICKOLAS FLAHARTY, JOHN BURNEY, ROBERT BELL, JUNR., SAMUEL HARMON, JACOB MITCHELL, ROBERT SORRELS, JAMES GARDNER, JOHN CROFT, (CROFT), ASEE MURPHY, ISAAC ARMSTRONG, ROLIN WHELDON, WILLIAM RIDELLE, WHICH LAST FOUR WERE TALLISMEN WHO WERE DULY ELECTED TRIED AND SWORN TO BY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE ~~###~~ SUIT AND AFTER THE TRIAL HAD PROGRESSED SOME LENGTH THE COURT BEING INFORMED THAT A PROVIDENTIAL ACCIDENT HAD HAPPENED IN THE FAMILY OF ROBERT SORRELL, ONE OF THE JURY, WHICH REQUIRED HIS IMMEDIATE ATTENTION HE WAS WITHDRAWN FROM THE JURY. A MOTION WAS THEN MADE ON A DEPOSITION BY DEFENDENTS COUNCIL FOR LEAVE TO AMEND THE PLEA AND AFTER BEING CONSIDERED BY THE COURT LEAVE IS GRANTED. UPON PAYMENT OF COSTS SINCE FILING OF THE PLEA COSTS TO BE PAID IN (ILL) HIM THE JURY WAS DISCHARGED FROM ANY FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF THIS CAUSE AND THE CAUSE IS TO BE CONTINUED.

PG 35.

//

DANIAL AYERS

VS

GEORGE VENNEMAN

IN THIS CASE THE PLAINTIFF BY HIS ATTORNEY APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND THE DEFENDENT BEING THREE TIMES SOLEMLY CALLED TO COME INTO COURT CAME NOT BUT MADE DEFAULT, WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE SUM OF TWO HUNDRED AND TWENTY FIVE DOLLARS AND FORTY TWO CENTS HIS DAMAGES AND THAT FURTHER SUM OF FIFTEEN DOLLARS AND SEVENTEEN CENTS SEVEN PERCENT PENALTY THEREON TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES IN AND ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

// THE COURT THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING AT EIGHT OCLOCK
J.N. COUCH
PRESIDING JUDGE

//

TUESDAY SEPTEMBER THE 7TH 1819. THE COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT, PRESENT AS YESTERDAY.

// JOHN PRITCHARD

PHENICIS INSKEEP & OTHERS

VS

GEORGE HEICHEL

THE PERSONS BY THEIR ATTORNIES APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND THEREUPON CAME A JURY, WHO WERE DULY ELECTED TRYED AND SWORN TO TRY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE SUIT TO WIT:

PG 36.

NICKOLAS ~~FLAHARTY~~ FLARHARTY, ROBERT BELL JR., SAMUEL HARMON, ABEL H. WEBSTER, JAMES GARDNER, JOHN CROFT, ISAAC ARMSTRONG, EPHRIAM ECKLEY, SOLOMON CULVER LIBENS FORBES, DANIAL B. WICK, DANIAL WOODWARD, WHICH LAST SIX WERE TALLISMEN WHO HEARD THE PROOFS AND ALLEGATIONS AND COUNCIL OF BOTH PARTIES AND WHO UPON THEIR SOLEMM OATHS DO SAY THAT THE DEED MENTIONED IN THE PLAINTIFFS IS THE (CLET CUT DET?) AND DEED OF THE DEFENDENT AND ASSESS THE PLAINTIFF DEBT AT \$108.20CENTS ANS \$24.89CTS DAMAGES WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE AFORESAID SUM OF \$108.25 CENTS DEBT AND \$24, DOLLARS AND .89CENTS DAMAGES ASSESSED BY THE JURY TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES BY THEM ABOUT THEIR SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENTS IN MERCY BE.

//

PG 37.

// ROBERT ANDREWS
VS
JOHN LINDLEY(LINDSEY)

A WRIT OF ERROR IN THIS CASE WAS ALLIWD
THE PLAINTIFF IN ERROR ON HIS COMPLYING WITH
THE LAW.

// LEVERETT S. SILLIMAN
VS
JOHN GARRISON
WILLIAM W. COTGREAVE
PLUMB SUTTLIFF
SAMUEL WILLIAMS
JOHN MANN
WILLIAM B. JAMES
JOSEPH S. REESR(REED)
JACOB BEAM
MICHEAL BEAM
WINN WINSHIP
WILLIAM D. MANN
DANIAL AYERS
GEORGE VENNEMAN
MATHEW KELLY
JOHN PUGH
ROBERT ANDREWS
WILLIAM WEBSTER
CONTENT LAKE
MORDACIAH BARTLEY
THOMAS CHANDLER
JACOB ORENBAUGH

IN THIS CASE JOHN GARRISON, WILLIAM W. COTGREVE,
PLUMB SUTLIFF; SAMUEL WILLIAMS, JOHN MANN, WILLIAM B.
JAMES, JOSEPH L. REED, JACOB BEAM, AND MICHEAL BEAM,
DEFENDENTS UPON WHOM THE PROCESS IN THE CAUSE
AFORESAID WAS SUED, CAME HERE INTO COURT AND BY CONSENT OF THE
JOUR_IES, THE CAUSE AFORESAID WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT, W
WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID LEVERETT
S. SILLIMAN RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENTS LEIN AFORESAID UPON
WHOM THE PROCESS WAS SERVED AS AFORESAID THE SUM OF TWO THOUS.
THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED AND SEVENTY FIVE DOLLARS AND NINETY
CENTS DAMAGES TOGETHER WITH THE SUM OF ONE HUNDRED AND
NINTY FOUR DOLLARS AND SEVENTY FIVE CENTS BEING SEMEN PER
CENT PENALTY THEREON BY THE COURT ASSESSED IN PERSUANT OF
THE PROVISIONS OF THE STATUTE IN SUCH CASE MADE AND PROVIDED
IT IS ALSO CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAIN IFF
RECOVER OF THE SUED DEFENDENTS THE AFORESAID HIS COSTS AND
CHARGES BY HIM ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED AND
THE DEFENDENTS IN MERCY BE.

PG. 39

// JANE CUNNINGHAM
ADM. OF THE ESTATE OF
HUGH CUNNINGHAM DECEASED
VS
PETER SNYDER
PINUED UNTIL NEXT COURT.

IN THIS CASE LEAVE IS GRANTED TO FILE NEW DECLARATION
IN THREE MONTHS AND RUL TO PLEAD IN THREE MONTHS THEREAFTH
AND EVERY SIXTY DAYS TO BE A RULE DAY THEREAFTER
UNTIL THE PLEADINGS ARE CLOSED, AND THE FORMER RULE AS TO
COSTS BE COMPLYED WITH IN SIX MONTHS AND THIS CAUSE CON-

// JAMES HEDGES AND OTHERS
VS
SAMUEL HILL

THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED AT THE PLAINTIFF COSTS.

WITNESS
JOHN WHELDON 8 DAYS

PG. 40.

// JACOB OSENBAUGH
FOR USE OF STURGES & SHERWOOD
VS
ROBERT FORBES

THE PARTIES APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND BY
CONSENT THIS CAUSE WAS SUBMITTED TO THE
COURT THEN THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE
DEFENDENT THE SUM OF NINETY FIVE DOLLARS HIS
DEBT AND FOURTEEN DOLLARS AND TWENTY FIVE CENTS
HIS DAMAGES TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND
CHARGES BY HIM ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF
EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

WITNESSESFOR DEF.
ANDREW NEWMAN
ANDREW BLAIR

// SALLY SHIFFER
VS
PETER SHIFFER

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

CONTINUED UNTIN NEXT TERM OF THIS COURT

JOHN STEWART
VS
MORDACIAH BARTLEY

ACTION OF TRESSPASS ON THE CASE

THIS CAUSE WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT, AND AFTER THE ARGUMENTS
OF COUNCIL HAVING BEEN HEARD ON BOTH SIDES IT IS CONSIDERED THAT
DEFENDENT RECOVER OF THE PLAINTIFF HIS COSTS AND CHARGES BY HIM ABOUT HIS BEFENCE IN THAT B
BEHALF EXPENDED THE PLAINTIFF IN MERCY BE.

//
WILLIAM SLATER
VS
PG. 41.

THE PRESIDENT DIRECTORS
AND COMPANY OF THE GERMAN
BANK OF WOOSTER, OHIO

IN CHANCERY

ON BILL AND DEMURRER AND THE COURT HAVING READ AND HEARD
THE BILL AND THE DEMURRER THERETO DO ADJUDGE THE LAW
TO BE WITH THE DEFENDENT AND DO AMEND AND DECREE THAT THE DEMURRER BE SUSTEUNED
AND THAT THE INJUNCTION IMPOSED ON THE JUDGEMENT AT LAW IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
BE DISOLVED AND THAT THE SAME BILL BE DISMISSED AT THE COST OF THE COMPLAINANT.
WHERE AND THEN THE COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING 8 O CLOCK
JESUP N. COUCH
PRESIDING JUDGE

// WEDNESDAY SEPTEMBER THE 8TH 1819
THE COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT, THE SAME JUDGES AS
YESTERDAY.

//
JACOB BEAM
VS
MICHEAL TIEMAN (TERMAN)
DISOLVED THIS CAUSE CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM OF THIS COURT.
pg. 42.

IN CHANCERY

// MAETHEN KELLY
VS
ALEXANDER MANN
&
JAMES HEDGES
THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT COURT.

// ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT SPECIALMANDATS ISSUE TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS TO CARY INTO
EXECUTIONAS CAFIS(CASES) WHERE JUDGEMENTS HAVE BEEN RENDERED AT THEIR COUNCIL
THE COURT THEN ADJOURNED WITHOUT DAY

JESUP N. COUCH
PRESIDING JUDGE

// JANE CUNNINGHAM
ADM. OF HUGH CUNNINGHAM
VS
(ALL THAT IS ON PAGE 43)
PG 43.

ROBERT MC COMBS
VS
WILLIAM W. COTGREAVE

TRESPASS CASE
(SAME TEXT AS LAST CASE WITH EXCEPTIONS OF AMOUNT PAID)

DEFENDENT BEING IN DEFAULT.
PLAINTIFF RECOVERED SUM OF \$134.64 DAMAGES
\$ 9.42 PENALTY
\$10.00 COSTS

**

JAMES RAWLINGS
VS

TRESPASS CASE

PG. 49

ISIAH WATERS THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNEYS AND BY CONSENT THIS CAUSE IS
DISMISSED AT THE COSTS OF THE DEFENDENT WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE
COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE SUM OF TWELVE DOLLARS AND FIFTY NINE CEN
AND COSTS THAT ACCRUED IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AND ALSO HIS COSTS ABOUT THE SUIT IN THIS
BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

**

ORDERED THAT THE COURT ADJOURN UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING 9 OCLOCK
CALVIN PEASE, CHIEF JUDGE

**

TUESDAY SEPTEMBER THE 12TH 1820
THE COURT MET PERSUANT TO ~~#####~~ TO ADJOURNMENT, THE SAME AS YESTERDA

**

ALEXANDER MC BRIDE
VS
JOHN PUGH

TRESPASS CASE

PG. 50.

IN THIS CASE THE SAID ALEXANDER MC BRIDE, BY J. PANKA HIS ATTORNEY APPEAR
AND THE SAID JOHN PUGH BY C.R. SHERMAN HIS ATTORNEY, ALSO APPEARED AND PRODUCED A WARRANT
OF ATTORNEY A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREWITH FILED ACCORDING TO LAW. AUTHORIZING THE SAID SUIT TO
BE DOCKETED AND JUDGEMENT TO BE (CONFESSED IN FEION) OF THE PLAINTIFF FOR THE SUM OF ONE
THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED AND SEVEN FIFTY CENTS AND THE SAID JOHN PUGH BY HIS SAID
ATTORNEY (___FCSSSED) THE SAME ACTION AND THAT THE PLAINTIFF HAD RECOVERED DAMAGES
TO OUT E FER _____) WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID ALEXANDER
MC BRIDE RECOVER OF THE SAID JOHN PUGH THE AFORESAID SUM OF ONE THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED
AND SEVENTY ⁸⁰ DOLLARS DAMAGES TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS ABOUT THIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF AND I
THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

(VERY SRAWLED WRITING)

PG. 51.

**

ALEXANDER STEPHENS
VS
~~#####~~
JOHN WILLS &
MICHEAL WILLS

SUPREME COURT, KNOX COUNTY OHIO
IN CHANCERY

THIS CAUSE HAVING BEEN BROUGHT FROM THE COUNTY OF KNOX UNDER
ADVISEMENT AND THE COURT HERE HAVING HEARD THE BILLOF
COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF AND THE DEMURRER OF THE DEFENDENT ARE OF APINION
AND DO SO ORDER AND ADJUDGE THAT THE DEMURRER FILED BY THE DEFENDENT TO THE BILL OF
COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF BE SUSTAINED AND THAT THE BILL BE DISMISSED AND THAT THE DEFENDENT
RECOVER OF THE PLAINTIFF THEIR COSTS ABOUT THEIR DEFENCE SUSTAINED AND THAT THE CLERK OF
THIS COURT CERTIFY TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE COUNTY OF KNOX THE ABOVE ORDER
AND THAT THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE COUNTY OF KNOX ISSUE A SPECIAL
MANDATE TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR SAID COUNTY OF KNOX TO CARRY THIS DECREE
AND ORDER INTO EFFECT.

PG. 52.

GEORGE ACKLEY
CHARLES L. COLLINS
EDMUND INGMAND JR.

PETITION IN CHANCERY

VS
HENRY ST. JOHN

TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT FROM THE WAYNE COURT HAVING READ
AND HEARD THE PETITION OF THE COMPLAINTS AND THE ANSWER OF THE
DEFENDENTS AND THE DEPOSITIONS OF THE WITNESSES DO CONSIDER

THAT THE LAW AND EQUITY IS WITH THE DEFENDENT AND THEY DO AWARD ORDER AND DECREE THAT THE I
INJUNCTION BE DISOLVED THE BILL DISMISSED AND THAT THE DEFENDENT RECOVER HIS COSTS OF THE
PLAINTIFF BY HIM IN HIS ~~DEFENCE~~ DEFENCE EXPENDED TO BE TAKED BY THE CLERK OF OUR SAID COURT
WITHIN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE, AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE CLERK OF THIS COURT
FOR THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND CERTIFY THE ABOVE ORDER UNDER THE SEAL OF THE COURT TO THE CLERK
OF THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE AND THAT THE SAME BE SENT TO THE COURT OF COMMO
PLEAS BY SPECIAL MANDATE TO BE CARRIED INTO EXECUTION.

PG. 53

// SALLY SHIFFER
VS
PETER SHIFFER

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

THIS DAY CAME THE PLAINTIFF BY HER ATTORNEY AND BY CONSENT
THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

// ROBERT ANDREWS
VS
JOHN LINDSEY

TRESPASS ON THE CASE

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNEYS AND BY
CONSENT THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

// JOSEPH H. LARWILL &
JAMES HEDGED SEN. VIVING PARTNERS OF
JACOB NEWMAN(DECEASED)
VS
SAMUEL HILL

ACTION OF COVENANT

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNEYS AND THERE UPON CAME A
JURY TO WIT: MARTIN HUSTIN, SETH B. COOK, JOHN LONG, ALEXANDER
MC BRIDE, SEN., JACOB MASON, JOHN HALL, JACOB REED, ROLIN WELDON, THOMAS WATT, DAVID
MARKLEY, & DANIEL CARTER, WHICH LAST THREE WERE TALLISMEN WHO BEING DULY ~~ELECTED~~ ELECTED
TRYED AND SWORN THE TRUTH TO SPEAK UPON JOINED IN THE ABOVE SUIT, TOOK THEIR OATHS PG. 54.
DO SAY THAT THE SAID DEFENDENT SAMUEL HILL HATH BROKEN THE SAID COVENANT IN THE SECOND #
COURT OF THE PLAINTIFF DECLARATION SPECIFIED AND THEREUPON FIND AND ASSESS THE PLAINTIFF ~~DAM~~
DAMAGES AT THREE HUNDRED AND FIFTY NINE DOLLARS AND NINETY SIX CENTS TOGETHER WITH TWENTY FIV
DOLLARS AND THIRTEEN CENTS BEING SEVEN PERCENT PENALTY THEREON BY THE COURT ASSESSED IN ~~PERSU~~
PERSUANCE OF THE STATUTE IN SUCH CASE MADE AND PROVIDED.

// MORDICAI BARTLEY
VS
SAMUEL MC CORMICK

ACTION OF DEBT

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES AND BY CONSENT THIS CAUSE WAS SUBMITTER
TO THE COURT, WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE
DEFENDENT THE SUM OF SEVEN HUNDRED THIRTY FIVE DOLLARS AND FORTY NINE CENTS DEBT AND
FIFTY NINE DOLLARS AND FORTY ONE CENTS DAMAGES TOGETHER WITH FIFTY FIVE DOLLARS AND
SIXTY FOUR CENTS BEING SEVEN PERCENT PENALTY THEREON BY THE COURT ASSESSED IN PERSUANCE OF
THE PROVISIONS OF THE STATUTE IN SUCH CASE MADE AND PROVIDED, IT IS ALSO CONSIDERED BY
THE COURT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT HIS COSTS AND CHARGES BY HIM ABOUT HIS SUIT
IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

PG. 55.

// THOMAS EDGINGTON
VS
JOHN GARRISON

TRESPASS ON THE CASE

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND BY CONSENT
THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

JAMES HEDGES
VS
THOMAS EDGINGTON

TRESPASS CASE

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND
BY CONSENT THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

// JOSEPH CAIRNS
VS
LEWIS LYBARGER

IN THIS CAUSE THE COURT SET ASIDE THE JUDGEMENT OF THE
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AND IT IS THEREFOR CONSIDERED THAT THE SAID
LEWIS LYBARGER RECOVER OF THE SAID JOSEPH CAIRNS HIS COSTS AND CHAI
CHARGES ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE SAID JOSEPH IN MERCY BE
(THE WHOLE TEXT CROSSED OUT AND "ERROR WRITTEN OVER IT)

PG. 56.

// LLOYD ADY
VS
MARY ADY

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

THE PETITION IN THIS CAUSE CAME ON THIS DAY FOR HEARING.
THE COMPLAINTANT APPEARED BY J. PARKER HIS ATTORNEY. AND THE DEFENDENT HAVING BEEN SERVED
WITH PROCESS AND A COPY OF THE PETITION ACCORDING TO LAW AND BEING THREE TIMES SOLEMLY
CALLED CAME NOT BUT MADE DEFAULT AND AFTER HEARING THE TESTIMONY ADDUCED AND IT BEING
PROVED TO THE SATIFIACTION OF THE COURT BY DIS INTERESRED TESTIMONY THAT THE CAUSE OF ~~THE~~
COMPLAINT IS TRUE AND THAT THE SAID LLOYD ~~AND~~ ADY AND MARY ADY HAD BEEN LEGALLY MARRIED
IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT THAT THE MARRIAGE CONTRACT SOLEMMISED BETWEEN THE
SAID LLOYD AND MARY SHALL BE DISOLVED AND BOTH OF THEM BE ~~THE~~ FIRED FROM THE OBLIGATION
OF THE SAME IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT THAT THE CASE AND MAINTAINCE
OF ROBERT ADY AND JONATHAN ADY, CHILDREN OF SAID MARRIAGE BE GIVEN AND COMMITTED
TO SAID LLOYD ADY AND THAT THE SAID MARY ADY HAVE AND HOLD AT A (FEANE) THE
PROPERTY HERETOFORE SET APART AND GIVED HER BY THE SAID LLOYD ADY AS HER ALIMONY AND IT IS
FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED THAT THE SAID LLOYD ADY PAY ALL THE COSTS ACCRUED
ACCORDING IN THIS CASE

PG. 57.

// JACOB BEAM
VS
MICHEAL PIERMAN

IN CHANCERY

THIS CAUSE CAME ON THIS DAY FOR HEARING ON BILL AND ANSWER
AND AFTER HEARING THE ARGUMENTS OF COUNCIL AND EXIBITED MADE PART OF THE ANSWER
IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT THAT THE BILL OF COMPLAINT FILED AND EXIBITED IN THIS
CASE BE DISMISSED AND THAT THE SAID RESPONDENT RECOVER OF THE SAID COMPLAINTANT
HIS COSTS ABOUT HIS DEFENCE IN THAT BEHALF AND THE COMPLAINTANT IN MERCY BE.

// LEWIS LYBARGER
VA
JOSEPH CAIRNS

IN ERROR FROM COMMON PLEAS

THIS DAY CAME HERE IN THE COURT AS WELL THE SAID JOSEPH CAIRNS IN HIS
PROPER PERSON AS THE SAID LEWIS LYBARGER BY J. PARKER HIS ATTORNEY WHEREUPON AS WELL THE RE
RECORD AND PROCEEDINGS AFORESAID AND THE JUDGEMENT AFORESAID GIVEN, AS THE MATTER
AFORESAID BY THE SAID LEWIS LYBARGER ABOVE FOR ERRORS ASSIGNED BEING SEEN. AND BY THE COURT
NOW HERE FULLY UNDERSTOOD AND MOTIVE DELIBERATION BEING HAD THEREON IT APPEARS TO THE COURT
AFORESAID NOW HERE THAT THERE IS MANIFEST ERROR IN THE RECORD AND PROCEEDINGS AFORESAID WHER
BY IT WAS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT BE LAW THAT THE SAID JOSEPH SHOULD RECOVER AGAINST THE SAI
LEWIS HIS REBT AND COSTS AFORESAID WITHOUR DECLARATION A PLEA FIL AND ALSO
THAT AFTER SAID CAUSE WAS IN THE COURT BE LOWDISMISSED THE JUDGEMENT IN FORM AFORESAID
VERDICT WAS GIVEN AFTER THE DISMISAL OF SAID CAUSE BELOW THEREFOR IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE
COURT HERE THAT THE SAID JUDGEMENTS FOR THE ERRORS AFORESAID BE REVERSED ANDLLED AND TOGETHE
HELD FOR WROUGHT AND THAT THE SAID LEWIS BE RESTORED TO ALL THINGS WHICH HATH BY OCCASION

rest

OF THE SAID JUDGEMENTS AND IT IS FURTHER CONSIDERED BY THE SAID COURT HERE THAT THE SAID LEWIS RECOVER AGAINST THE SAID JOSEPH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES BY THE COURT HERE ADJUDGED TO THE SAID LEWIS WHICH HE HATH SUSTAINED AND EXPENCES ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF AND THAT THE CAUSE BE REVERSED TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR EXECUTION AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

//
 THOMAS TOWNSEND
 VS
 ISAAC SHINNAMAN

IN CHANCERY

THIS CAUSE HAVING BEEN BROUGHT FROM THE COUNTY OF WAYNE UNDER ADVISEMENT AND THE COURT HERE HAVING HEARD THE COMPLAINANTS BILL AND THE DEMURRER AND ANSWER OF THE DEFENDENT ARE OF OPINION AND DO ORDER AND DECREE THAT THE DEMURRER FILED BY THE DEFENDENT TO BE PART OF THE COMPLAINANT BILL BE SUSTAINED AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED THAT THE INJUNCTION BE DISOLVED AND THE COMPLAINANTS BILL BE DISMISSED AND THAT THE DEFENDENT RECOVER OF THE COMPLAINANT HIS COSTS ABOUT HIS DEFENCE SUSTAINED AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE CLERK OF THIS COURT CERTIFY TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE THE ABOVE ORDER AND DECREE AND THAT THE SAID CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT OF WAYNE COUNTY ISSUE A SPECIAL MANDATE TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR SAID COUNTY OF WAYNE TO CARRY THIS ORDER AND DECREE INTO EFFECT.

//
 THOMAS TOWNSEND
 VS

ISAAC SHINNAMAN FROM THE COUNTY OF WAYNE UNDER ADVISEMENT AND THE COURT HAVING HEARD THE EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENTS OF THE COUNCIL ARE OF OPINION THAT THE BOND MENTIONED IN THE PLAINTIFF DECLARATION IS THE ACT AND DEED OF THE DEFENDENT IT THEREUPON CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE SUM OF ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTY FOUR DOLLARS AND SIXTY CENTS BEBT THE AMOUNT OF THE SUM MENTIONED IN THE PLAINTIFFS DECLARATION AND THE SUM OF TWENTY TWO DOLLARS, TWELVE CENTS, HIS DAMAGES BY HIM SUSTAINED FOR THE NONPAYMENT OF THE DEBT AFORESAID WITH TEN DOLLARS AND NINETY SEVEN CENTS PENALTY OF SEVEN PERCENT AND HIS COSTS BY HIM ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED IN THE SUPREME COURT AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE. AND IT IS ORDERED THAT THE CLERK OF THIS COURT CERTIFY THE ABOVE JUDGEMENT TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT OF WAYNE COUNTY AND THAT THE CAUSE BE MANDATED TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR SAID WAYNE COUNTY FOR EXECUTION.

// JOHN MANN JUN.
 VS
 ROBERT AKEY

TRESPASS CASE

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND BY CONSENT THIS CAUSE IS DISMISSED BY EACH PARTY PAYING THEIR OWN COSTS WHICH HAVE ACCRUED EXCEPT THAT PLAINTIFF IS TO PAY THE WHOLE FEES OF WILLIAM MANN WITNESS, SINCE THE LAST TERM WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED ADJUDGED BY THE COURT THAT EXECUTION ISSUE ACCORDING BE.

//

// JEDEDIAH SMITH
VS

JOHN GARRISON
SAMUEL WILLIAMS

TRESPASS CASE

WILLIAM D. MANN
NATHANIEL (WHEH)? *write*
JOHN MANN JUN.
WILLIAM W. COTGREAVE
&
JOSEPH WILLIAMS

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THEREUPON CAME A JURY TO WIT: MARTIN HEISTER, SETH B. COOK, IASIAH GALLOP, JOHN LONG, ALEXANDER MC BRIDE, SEN. JACOB MANNISON, JOHN HALL, JACOB REED, ROLIN WELDON, MICHEAL NEWMAN, THOMAS WATT, AND DANIEL CARTER, WHO BEING DULY ELECTED TRYED AND SWORN TO BY THE ISSUE JOINED IN THE ABOVE CASE DO SAY UPON THEIR SOLEMM OATHS

THAT THE DEFENDENT DID UNDERTAKE AND PROMISE AS THE PLAINTIFFS HAS ALLEDGED AND ASSED THE PLAINTIFFS DAMAGES AT EIGHTY FOUR DOLLARS AND SIXTY THREE CENTS WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFFS RECOVER OD THE DEFENDENT THE AFORESAID SUM ASSESSED BY THE JURY AFORESAID TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THIS BEHALF AND THE DEFENDENTS IN MERCY BE.

//

PG. 63.

JOSEPH CAIRNS
ASSIGNEE OF
ROBERT ANDREWS

DEBT

VS
JOHN KENT &
ARCHIBALD GARDNER

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNEYS AND BY CONSENT THIS CAUSE WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT WHEREUPON IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE SUM OF THREE HUNDRED AND TWENTY TWO DOLLARS

AND FIFTY THREE CENTS, ONE HUNDRED FIFTY ONE DOLLARS AND TWENTY FIVE CENTS DAMAGES FOR THE DETENTION OF THE DEBT AFORESAID WITH THIRTY THREE DOLLARS AND SIXTEEN CENTS PENALTY OF SEVEN PERCENT AND HIS COSTS ABOUT HIS ~~###~~ SUIT IN THAT BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENT IN MERCY BE.

//

ABSOLOM REDGLEY
VS
JOHN MANN JUN.

IN ERROR

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND BY CONSENT THIS CAUSE IS CONYINUED.

//

PG. 64.

PLUMB SUTIFF
VS

TRESPASS CASE

WILLIAM TIMBERLAKE

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND BY CONSENT THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM

//

WILLIAM LITTLE
VS
MATHIAS DAY &
TYLER SHATTON

TRESPASS ON THE CASE

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND BY CONSENT APPEAL IS DISMISSED, BUT FOR THE APPEAL NOT HAVING BEEN FILED WITHIN THE TIME REQUIRED BY LAW.
(AS WRITTEN)

//

NATHANIAL B. CHILDS
VS

AHIRA HILL
TIMOTHY WELLS

TRESPASS CASE

CALVIN HILL THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES AND THE DEMURRER BEING OVERULED, BY CONSENT, THIS CAUSE WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEF. THE SUM OF 829.52 HIS DAMAGES TOGETL WITH THE COSTS AND CHARGES ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEF. IN MERCY BE.

//

HEZIKIAH H. REED HAVING BEEN DULY EXAMINED AND _____ TO PRACTICE AS AN ATTORNEY AND COUNSELLAR AT LAW APPEARED IN OPEN COURT AND TOOK THE OATH TO SUPPORT THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE STATE OF OHIO, AND ALSO THAT OF AN ATTORNEY AND COUNSELLAR AT LAW AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW AND THEREUPON RECEIVED HIS CERTIFICATE TO THAT PURPOSE.

THE COURT ADJOURNED TO EIGHT OCLOCK TOMORROW MORNING

CALVIN PEASE
CHIEF JUDGE

//

SEPTEMBER 13TH 1820

THE COURT MET PERSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT, PRESENT AS ON MONDAY

//

JEDEIAH SMITH
VS
JOHN GARRISON
SAMUEL WILLIAMS
WILLIAM W. COTGREAVE
& OTHERS

VERDICT FOR PLAINTIFFS
MOTION IN ARREST OF JUDGEMENTS

THIS MOTION IS TAKEN UNDER ADJOURNMENT (ADVISEMENT)
TO BE CERTIFIED BACK FROM SAME COUNTY ON THE CIRCUIT AND JUDGEMENT TO BE ENTERED AS OF THIS TERM, AND OF NOT CONTINUED.

//

PG. 66.

PETER EVENBAUGH
VS
WILLIAM B. JAMES
NATHANIAL WHITE
& PLUM SUTIFF

TRESPASS CASE

(TEXT SAME AS BEFORE BUT IMPOSSIBLE TO READ)
(VERY BAD SCROLLED WRITING AND CROSSED OVER AND SCRATCHED OUT)

MOTION FOR ARREST OF JUDGEMENT BY THE DEF.

//JOHN FOGOLESONG
VS
THOMAS EDGINGTON

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES AND THE CAUSE IS CONTINUED.

// JOSEPH H. LARWILL

JAMES HEDGES, SURVIVING PARTNER OF
JACOB NEWMAN
VS
SAMUEL HILL

THEREUPON IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEF. THE SUM OF AFORESAID ASSESSED BY THE JURY AFORESAID, TOGETHER WITH THE SUM OF TWENTY FIVE DOLLARS AND THIRTEEN CENTS, SEVEN PERCENT PENALTY THEREON IN PERSUANCE OF THE STATUTE IN SUCH CASE MADE PROVIDED TOGETHER WITH THE COSTS AND CHARGES ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED ANT THE DEF. IN MERCY BE

PG. 67.

// LEWIS LYBARGER
VS JO
JOSEPH CAIRNS

IN CHANCERY
BY CONSENT THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

//THOS. EDGINGTON
VS
JOHN FOGLESONG

TRESPASS ON THE CASE
THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

// ALOAH BUCKINGHAM
 VS
 NATHANIAL WHITE
 WILLIAM B. JAMES &
 PLUM SUTIFF

IN ERROR
 THIS CASE IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

// MATHEW KELLY
 VS
 JAMES HEDGES
 ALEXANDER MANN

TRESPASS ON THE CASE
 THIS CAUSE HAVING BEEN SUBMITTED BY CONSENT OF THE COURT IS TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT TO BE CERTIFIED BACK FROM SAME COUNTY IN THIS STATE AND JUDGEMENT TO BE ENTERED AS OF THIS TERM, IF NOT TO STAND CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT TERM.

PG. 69.

// PETER EVENBAUGH
 VS
 NATHANIAL WHITE & OTHERS

MOTION IN ARREST
 THIS CAUSE IS TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT TO BE CERTIFIED BACK FROM SAME COUNTY OF THIS STATE AND JUDGEMENTS TO BE ENTERED AS OF THIS TERM.

// THIS ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT ALL JUDGEMENTS AND DECREES IN CAUSES APPEALED ACCRUED BY THIS COURT AND BY WRIT OF ERROR FROM COMMON PLEAS BE CERTIFIED TO SAID COURT AT THE NEXT TERM BY SPECIAL MANDATE TO CARRY THIS SAME EFFECT BY EXECUTION BE.

THE COURT ADJOURNED SAME DAY

CALVIN PEASE
 CHIEF JUDGE

// JEDEDIAH SMITH
 VS
 JOHN GARRISON
 SAMUEL WILLIAMS
 WILLIAM DILLMAN
 WILLIAM B. JAMES
 NATHANIAL WHITE
 JOHN MANN JUN.
 WILLIAM W. COTGREAVE
 JOSEPH WILLIAMS

TRESPASS ON THE CASE
 MOTION IN ARREST FOR JUDGEMENTS

THIS CAUSE HAVING BEEN CARRIED TO THE COUNTY OF HURON UNDER THE ORDER OF THIS COURT FOR ADVISEMENT AND THE SAID MOTION HAVING BEEN HEARD AND CONSIDERED AT THE SAID HURON COURT IT IS ORDERED THAT THE DEFENDENT TAKE NOTHING BY THEIR SAID MOTION IT IS THEREFOR CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENTS THE SUM OF EIGHTY FOUR DOLLARS AND SIXTY THREE CENTS HIS DAMAGES

PG. 70.
 AFORESAID BY THE JURY AFORESAID ASSESSED WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED AND THE DEFENDENTS IN MERCY BE. AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE CLERK OF THIS COURT CERTIFY UNDER THE SEAL OF THIS COURT A COPY OF THIS ORDER TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND TO BE BY HIM ENTERED OF RECORD OF THE LAST TERM AND THAT A MANDATE ISSUE TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR SAID COUNTY OF RICHLAND TO CARRY THIS JUDGEMENT INTO EXECUTIO, ?.

// PETER EVENBAUGH
 VS
 WILLIAM B. JAMES
 NATHANIAL WHITE
 PLUM SUTIFF

TRESPASS CASE
 MOTION IN ARREST OF JUDGEMENT

THIS CAUSE HAVING BEEN CARRIED TO THE COUNTY OF HURON UNDER THE ORDER OF THIS COURT UNDER ADVISEMENT TO BE CERTIFIED BACK UNDER THE SEAL OF THE COURT AND SAID MOTION HAVING BEEN CONSIDERED AND HEARD IT IS ORDERED THAT THE DEFENDENT TAKE NOTHING BY THEIR SAID MOTION AND IT IS THEN CONSIDERED UPON AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT THAT THE PLAINTIFF RECOVER OF THE DEFENDENT THE SAID SUM OF TWENTY TWO DOLLARS AND SEVENTY CENTS HIS DAMAGES AFORESAID BY THE JURY AFORESAID ASSESSED TOGETHER WITH HIS COSTS AND CHARGES AND THE DEF. IN MERCY BE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE CLERK OF THIS COURT UNDER THE SEAL OF THIS COURT CERTIFY A COPY OF THIS ORDER TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND TO BE BY HIM ENTERED OF RECORD AND THE MANDATE ISSUE TO THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

// THOMAS EDGINGTON
VS
JOHN GARRISON
PLUMB SUTIFF
JOHN MANN JUN.
JOHN PUGH
WILLIAM D. MANN
MORDICAH BARTLEY
WILLIAM W. COTGREAVE
SAMUELL WILLIAMS
JOSEPH WILLIAMS

SUMMONS TRESPASS ON THE CASE
DAMAGES \$500.00

THIS DAY CAME THE PARTIES BY THEIR ATTORNIES
AND BY CONSENT THIS CAUSE IS CONTINUED UNTIL
NEXT TERM.

// LEWIS LYBARGER
VS

IN CHANCERY

JOSEPH CAIRNS

THIS DAY CAME THE COMPLAINANT BY HIS COUNCIL AND THE
DEFENDENT BY HIS ATTORNEY AND IT IS ORDERED AND ALLEDGED
BY THE COURT THAT THE SAID COMPLAINMENT BILL BE DISMISSED WHEREUPON IT IS
CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE DEFENDENT RECOVER OF THE COMPLAINANT HIS ~~###~~
COSTS AND CHARGES ABOUT HIS SUIT IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED.

// SAMUEL HILL
VS
JAMES HEDGES

IN CHANCERY

THIS DAY CAME THE COMPLAINANT BY HIS COUNCIL AND THE
DEFENDENT BY HIS ATTORNEY AND IT IS ORDERED THAT THE SAID COMPLAINANT BILL BE DISMISSED
WHEREUPON IT IS CONSIDERED BY THE COURT THAT THE DEFENEAENT RECOVER OF THE
COMPLAINANT HIS COSTS AND CHARGES ABOUT HIS DEFENCE IN THIS BEHALF EXPENDED.

// JOHN LINDSEY
VS
ROBERT ANDREWS

IN ERROR

(TEXT SAME AS BEFORE)

// ORDERED THAT THE COURT ADJOURN UNTIL NINE OCLOCK TOMORROW MORNING
JOHN MC LENE(LEAN)
PRESIDING JUDGE.

// WEDNESDAY SEPTEMBER 12TH 1821

THE COURT MET AGREEABLE TO ADJOURNMENT, PRESENT THE SAME JUDGES
AS YESTERDAY

// ABIAH BUCKINGHAM
VS

IN ERROR

NATHANIAL WHITE
WILLIAM B. JAMES
PLUMB SUTIFF

(TEXT SAME AS BEFORE)